# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**JUAN M CERVANTES** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-13311-JTT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ADVANCE SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 12/04/11

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code Section 96.5(1)(j) – Separation From Temporary Employment

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the November 5, 2012, reference 03, decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on December 6, 2012. Claimant Juan Cervantes participated. Michael Payne represented the employer. Spanish-English interpreter Ninfa Redmond assisted with the hearing. Exhibit One was received into evidence.

#### ISSUE:

Whether the claimant's October 3, 2012 separation from the temporary employment agency was for good cause attributable to the employer.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Advance Services, Inc., is a temporary employment agency. Juan Cervantes performed work for the employer in a single assignment that started on September 30, 2012. Mr. Cervantes completed the assignment on October 3, 2012. Mr. Cervantes is a non-English speaking person. Mr. Cervantes speaks Spanish. On October 3, 2012, Sandra Ramirez, Advances Services Supervisor notified Mr. Cervantes that the assignment was ended. Mr. Cervantes asked Ms. Ramirez whether there was another job for him. Ms. Ramirez told Mr. Cervantes that there was no other work for him. Mr. Cervantes relied on that statement and made no further contact with Advance Services. Inc.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

# 871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The assignment ended on October 3, 2012. The Advance Services, Inc., supervisor notified the claimant on that day that the assignment was ending. The claimant immediately requested further work. The Advance Services, Inc., supervisor told the claimant there was no other work for him. The October 3, 2012 separation from the temporary employment agency was for good

cause attributable to the temporary employment agency. Mr. Cervantes is eligible for benefits provided he is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be charged for benefits.

## **DECISION:**

The Agency representative's November 5, 2012, reference 03, decision is affirmed. The claimant's October 3, 2012 separation from the temporary employment agency was for good cause attributable to the temporary employment agency. The claimant is eligible for benefits, provided he is otherwise eligible. The employer's account may be charged for benefits paid to the claimant.

James E. Timberland
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/bjc