

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

HENRY E ADAMS JR
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 06A-UI-11099-AT

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

**IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

**OC: 09/17/06 R: 03
Claimant: Appellant (2)**

Section 96.4-3 – Active Work Search

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated November 14, 2006, reference 02, which issued a warning to the claimant based upon a finding that the claimant had not performed an active work search for the week ending November 11, 2006. After reviewing the claimant's appeal letter and Agency benefit payment records, the administrative law judge concludes that no additional testimony is necessary.

ISSUE:

Should the warning be removed from the claimant's record?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: Henry E. Adams, Jr., had made one in-person job contact during the week ending November 11, 2006, when he was contacted by an employer with whom he had interviewed earlier to begin work on November 8, 2006.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The purpose of the work search requirement is to provide incentive to claimants to return to employment. The evidence in this record establishes that Mr. Adams has returned to employment. Under these circumstances, he should not be penalized for making a single work search contact.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated November 14, 2006, reference 02, is reversed.
The warning is removed from the claimant's record.

Dan Anderson
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

kjw/kjw