IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

MANUEL ALMANZA

Claimant

APPEAL 16A-UI-13513-JP-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

BROCK SERVICES LLC

Employer

OC: 12/04/16

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.3(5) – Benefit Duration - Business Closing Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.29(1) and (2) – Business Closing

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the December 13, 2016, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied the request to redetermine the claim based upon a business closure. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 12, 2017. Claimant participated. Employer did not participate.

ISSUE:

Is the claimant eligible to have the monetary determination recalculated due to business closing?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant does scaffolding, which is a seasonal job. Claimant's most recent job in lowa ended on November 23, 2016. After the job ended, claimant's supervisor stated that the employer would call him if any work comes up. The employer still has a business that is operating. Claimant is expecting to return to work for the employer once there is work available in either January 2017 or February 2017. The supervisor told claimant the employer would call claimant in January 2017 or February 2017. Claimant is currently on a seasonal layoff. The employer's offices are in Texas and are still at the same location.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge concludes that claimant was not laid off as a result of a business closure at the location where he worked and, therefore, is not entitled to a redetermination of wage credits.

Iowa Code § 96.3(5)a provides:

a. Duration of benefits. The maximum total amount of benefits payable to an eligible individual during a benefit year shall not exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to

the individual's account during the individual's base period, or twenty-six times the individual's weekly benefit amount, whichever is the lesser. The director shall maintain a separate account for each individual who earns wages in insured work. The director shall compute wage credits for each individual by crediting the individual's account with one-third of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. However, the director shall recompute wage credits for an individual who is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, by crediting the individual's account with one-half, instead of one-third, of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. Benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the base period wage credits in the individual's account which have not been previously charged, in the inverse chronological order as the wages on which the wage credits are based were paid. However if the state "off" indicator is in effect and if the individual is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, the maximum benefits payable shall be extended to thirty-nine times the individual's weekly benefit amount, but not to exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to the individual's account.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.29(1) provides:

Business closing.

(1) Whenever an employer at a factory, establishment, or other premises goes out of business at which the individual was last employed and is laid off, the individual's account is credited with one-half, instead of one-third, of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period, which may increase the maximum benefit amount up to 39 times the weekly benefit amount or one-half of the total base period wages, whichever is less. This rule also applies retroactively for monetary redetermination purposes during the current benefit year of the individual who is temporarily laid off with the expectation of returning to work once the temporary or seasonal factors have been eliminated and is prevented from returning to work because of the going out of business of the employer within the same benefit year of the individual. This rule also applies to an individual who works in temporary employment between the layoff from the business closing employer and the Claim for Benefits. For the purposes of this rule, temporary employment means employment of a duration not to exceed four weeks.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.29(2) provides:

(2) Going out of business means any factory, establishment, or other premises of an employer which closes its door and ceases to function as a business; however, an employer is not considered to have gone out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises in any case in which the employer sells or otherwise transfers the business to another employer, and the successor employer continues to operate the business.

Claimant is currently on a seasonal layoff and expects to hear from the employer about returning to work in January 2017 or February 2017. Since there is still an ongoing business at that location, the business is not considered to have closed. Therefore, while claimant remains

qualified for benefits based upon a layoff from this employer, he is not entitled to a recalculation of benefits.

DECISION:

The	December 13,	2016,	(reference	01)	unemployment	insurance	decision	is	affirmed.
Claimant was not laid off due to a business closure. Recalculation of benefits is denied.									

Jeremy Peterson Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jp/rvs