IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

NANCY L LANE 38695 IRON BRIDGE RD SPRAGUEVILLE IA 52074

DYNAMIC TUBE INC PO BOX 760 MAQUOKETA IA 52060-0760 Appeal Number: 04A-UI-03437-BT

OC: 02/22/04 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, lowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(A	Administrative Law Judge)	
	Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Dynamic Tube, Inc. (employer) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated March 18, 2004, reference 01, which held that Nancy Lane (claimant) was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on April 19, 2004. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Dan Pawlowski, President. Employer's Exhibits One and Two were admitted into evidence.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant worked for the previous company, Dynamic Metal Forming, since April 28, 1997. Dynamic Tube, Inc. (DTI) purchased assets of the former company on August 6, 2003 and hired the claimant on August 7, 2003 as a full-time purchasing coordinator. The claimant worked from August 2003 through February 2004 when the employer changed the terms of the contract of hire. The changes were effective as of February 23, 2004 and the employees' only options were to accept the changes or quit. The most significant changes consisted of reduced pay from \$11.12 to \$10.85 per hour; reduced vacation days from 15 to 12.5; reduced holidays from 11 to 8; increased medical and dental costs from \$9.23 to \$19.44 per week, while no longer including orthodontics; and a reduced 401K contribution from 50 to 25 percent. The claimant did not accept the new terms of employment and voluntarily quit on February 20, 2004.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant voluntarily quit on February 20, 2004 after being advised of a change in the contract of hire to be effective February 23, 2004. When a claimant voluntarily quits, she bears the burden of proving that the voluntary quit was for a good reason that would not disqualify her. Iowa Code Section 96.6-2. Almost every aspect of the claimant's employment package was being changed. Her wages, vacation, and holidays were reduced, her insurance was increased and the employer's 401K contribution was reduced by half. These are considered to be a substantial change in the claimant's contract of hire. Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Board, 433 N.W.2d 700 (Iowa 1988).

871 IAC 24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

871 IAC 24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

The law presumes a claimant has left employment with good cause when she quits because of a change in the contract of hire. 871 IAC 24.26(1). However, in order to show good cause for leaving employment based on a change in the contract of hire, an employee is required to take the reasonable step of informing the employer of the reasons for quitting in order to give the employer an opportunity to address or resolve the complaint. Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board, 506 N.W.2d 445 (Iowa 1993). In the case herein, the claimant could either accept the new contract of hire or quit her employment since the changes were not negotiable. Notice would therefore be futile. The claimant's voluntary quit was with good cause attributable to the employer and benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated March 18, 2004, reference 01, is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily quit her employment with good cause attributable to the employer and is qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits, provided she is otherwise eligible.

sdb/b