IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

CHRISTINE L WICKETT

Claimant

APPEAL 14A-UI-13018-JCT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CITY OF NEWTON

Employer

OC: 12/22/13

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Quitting

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from the December 16, 2014, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based upon the claimant's layoff. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on January 15, 2015. Although provided due notice, the claimant did not participate. The employer participated through Katrina Davis.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant laid off due to a lack of work?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed part-time as a receptionist for the city golf course and was separated from employment on November 22, 2014. The claimant was separated due to a layoff. The golf course closes each season and employees are separated. Since employees do not remain employed or expect to be recalled for work, this is a permanent, rather than temporary layoff.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was laid off due to a permanent lack of work.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as

defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(2)c provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market. Since, under unemployment insurance laws, it is the availability of an individual that is required to be tested, the labor market must be described in terms of the individual. A labor market for an individual means a market for the type of service which the individual offers in the geographical area in which the individual offers the service. Market in that sense does not mean that job vacancies must exist; the purpose of unemployment insurance is to compensate for lack of job vacancies. It means only that the type of services which an individual is offering is generally performed in the geographical area in which the individual is offering the services.
- c. Intermittent employment. An individual cannot restrict employability to only temporary or intermittent work until recalled by a regular employer.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(27) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(27) Failure to report on a claim that a claimant made any effort to find employment will make a claimant ineligible for benefits during the period. Mere registration at the workforce development center does not establish that a claimant is able and available for suitable work. It is essential that such claimant must actively and earnestly seek work.

The claimant's separation occurred when the golf closed for the season. Continuing work was not available at the time of separation, by no fault of the claimant. Therefore, the claimant's separation was attributable to a lack of work by the employer. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The December 16, 2014, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant was laid off due to a lack of work. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Jennifer L. Coe Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jlc/pjs