IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

NICOLE M BOWERS

Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-09519-AW-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

BEATON INC

Employer

OC: 03/29/20

Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Iowa Code § 96.19(38) – Definitions – Total, partial unemployment

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Eligibility – A&A – Able to, available for, work search

lowa Code § 96.7(2)A(2) − Charges − Same base period employment

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(26) – Eligibility – A&A – Part-time same hours, wages

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

PL 116-136, Sec. 2104 – Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from the July 27, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 25, 2020, at 10:00 a.m. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Kathy Frerichs, Controller. No exhibits were admitted. Official notice was taken of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Whether claimant is totally, partially or temporarily unemployed.

Whether claimant is able to and available for work.

Whether claimant is still employed at the same hours and wages.

Whether employer's account is subject to charge.

Whether claimant was overpaid benefits.

Whether claimant is eligible for Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began her most recent period of employment with Beaton (d/b/a Burger King) on March 11, 2020. Claimant was employed as a part-time crew employee. Employer did not guarantee claimant a minimum number of hours per week. Claimant's hourly wage was \$9.50.

The last day claimant performed work for employer was March 15, 2020. Claimant has performed no work for nor earned any wages from employer since March 15, 2020. After claimant's shift on March 15, 2020, she did not report back to work because she was pregnant and wanted to minimize her exposure to Covid-19. Employer remained open and had work available for claimant. Employer did not lay off any employees as a result of Covid-19. On March 29, 2020, claimant filed an initial claim for unemployment insurance benefits. Claimant's

weekly benefit amount is \$216.00. Claimant filed weekly claims for the benefit weeks between March 29, 2020 and September 19, 2020. Claimant reported that she was not able to or available for work on her weekly claims.

Claimant gave birth to her child on August 2, 2020. Claimant was hospitalized from August 2, 2020 until August 4, 2020. Claimant has not had work-related childcare for her child since August 2, 2020. Claimant was released by her physician to return to work during the week ending September 19, 2020.

The administrative record reflects that claimant filed for and has received regular unemployment insurance (UI) benefits in the gross amount of \$5,400.00 for the 25-week period between March 29, 2020 and September 19, 2020. In addition to regular unemployment insurance benefits, claimant also received Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) in the gross amount of \$10,200.00 for the 17-week period between March 29, 2020 and July 25, 2020. Claimant also received Lost Wages Assistance (LWA) benefits.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes:

Iowa Code section 96.19(38) provides:

"Total and partial unemployment".

- a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.
- b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which either of the following apply:
- (1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- (2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.
- c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

Iowa Code section 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially

unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.22(1) and (2) provide, in pertinent part:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

- (1) Able to work. An individual must be physically and mentally able to work in some gainful employment, not necessarily in the individual's customary occupation, but which is engaged in by others as a means of livelihood.
- a. Illness, injury or pregnancy. Each case is decided upon an individual basis, recognizing that various work opportunities present different physical requirements. A statement from a medical practitioner is considered prima facie evidence of the physical ability of the individual to perform the work required. A pregnant individual must meet the same criteria for determining ableness as do all other individuals.
- (2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market. Since, under unemployment insurance laws, it is the availability of an individual that is required to be tested, the labor market must be described in terms of the individual. A labor market for an individual means a market for the type of service which the individual offers in the geographical area in which the individual offers the service. Market in that sense does not mean that job vacancies must exist; the purpose of unemployment insurance is to compensate for lack of job vacancies. It means only that the type of services which an individual is offering is generally performed in the geographical area in which the individual is offering the services.

Iowa Admin. R. 871-24.23(8), (16), (17), (26) and (35) provide:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

- (8) Where availability for work is unduly limited because of not having made adequate arrangements for child care.
- (16) Where availability for work is unduly limited because a claimant is not willing to work during the hours in which suitable work for the claimant is available.
- (17) Work is unduly limited because the claimant is not willing to work the number of hours required to work in the claimant's occupation.

- (26) Where a claimant is still employed in a part-time job at the same hours and wages as contemplated in the original contract for hire and is not working on a reduced workweek basis different from the contract for hire, such claimant cannot be considered partially unemployed.
- (35) Where the claimant is not able to work and is under the care of a medical practitioner and has not been released as being able to work.

Iowa Code section 96.7(2)a(2) provides:

- 2. Contribution rates based on benefit experience.
- a. (2) The amount of regular benefits plus fifty percent of the amount of extended benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the account of the employers in the base period in the inverse chronological order in which the employment of the individual occurred.
- (a) However, if the individual to whom the benefits are paid is in the employ of a base period employer at the time the individual is receiving the benefits, and the individual is receiving the same employment from the employer that the individual received during the individual's base period, benefits paid to the individual shall not be charged against the account of the employer. This provision applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding subparagraph (3) and section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (b) An employer's account shall not be charged with benefits paid to an individual who left the work of the employer voluntarily without good cause attributable to the employer or to an individual who was discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment, or to an individual who failed without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work or to accept suitable work with that employer, but shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.
- (c) The amount of benefits paid to an individual, which is solely due to wage credits considered to be in an individual's base period due to the exclusion and substitution of calendar quarters from the individual's base period under section 96.23, shall be charged against the account of the employer responsible for paying the workers' compensation benefits for temporary total disability or during a healing period under section 85.33, section 85.34, subsection 1, or section 85A.17, or responsible for paying indemnity insurance benefits.

It is the duty of the administrative law judge, as the trier of fact, to determine the credibility of witnesses, weigh the evidence and decide the facts in issue. *Arndt v. City of LeClaire*, 728 N.W.2d 389, 394-395 (Iowa 2007). The administrative law judge may believe all, part or none of any witness's testimony. *State v. Holtz*, 548 N.W.2d 162, 163 (Iowa App. 1996). In assessing the credibility of witnesses, the administrative law judge should consider the evidence using his or her own observations, common sense and experience. *Id.* In determining the facts, and deciding what testimony to believe, the fact finder may consider the following factors: whether the testimony is reasonable and consistent with other evidence you believe; whether a witness has made inconsistent statements; the witness's appearance, conduct, age, intelligence, memory and knowledge of the facts; and the witness's interest in the trial, their motive, candor, bias and prejudice. *Id.*

The findings of fact show how I have resolved the disputed factual issues in this case. I assessed the credibility of the witnesses who testified during the hearing, considering the applicable factors listed above, and using my own common sense and experience. I find employer's testimony to be more credible than claimant's testimony. Claimant alleges that her general manager (and best friend) sent her home from work and told her not to return based upon information the general manager read on Facebook about pregnant women being more susceptible to infection with Covid-19. The general manager did not have authority to lay claimant off. No other employees were laid off. The general manager was also pregnant and continued working for employer. Claimant did not ask her general manager's direct supervisor or other managers about the status of her employment. Claimant's testimony lacks credibility.

Since March 29, 2020, claimant has performed no work and earned no wages. Therefore, claimant was totally unemployed. Because claimant was totally unemployed, she is required to be able to and available for work. Claimant was absent from work due to her concerns about Covid-19 and her desire to minimize exposure and possibility of infection. There was work available to claimant. Beginning August 2, 2020, claimant was under a physician's care and was not released by her physician to return to work. Beginning August 2, 2020, claimant also lacked work-related childcare, which she needs in order to attend work. Claimant has not met her burden of proving that she was able to and available for work. Therefore, claimant is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. Benefits are denied.

The next issue to be determined is whether claimant has been overpaid benefits. For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant was overpaid benefits, which must be repaid.

Iowa Code section 96.3(7) states:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

Benefits were paid to which claimant was not entitled. The administrative law judge concludes that claimant has been overpaid UI in the gross amount of \$5,400.00 for the 25-week period between March 29, 2020 and September 19, 2020. Claimant is required to repay those benefits.

PL 116-136, Sec. 2104 provides, in pertinent part:

- (b) Provisions of Agreement
- (1) Federal pandemic unemployment compensation.--Any agreement under this section shall provide that the State agency of the State will make payments of regular compensation to individuals in amounts and to the extent that they would be determined if the State law of the State were applied, with respect to any week for which the individual is (disregarding this section) otherwise entitled under the State law to receive regular compensation, as if such State law had been modified in a manner such that the

amount of regular compensation (including dependents' allowances) payable for any week shall be equal to

- (A) the amount determined under the State law (before the application of this paragraph), plus
- (B) an additional amount of \$600 (in this section referred to as "Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation").

. . . .

- (f) Fraud and Overpayments
- (2) Repayment.--In the case of individuals who have received amounts of Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation to which they were not entitled, the State shall require such individuals to repay the amounts of such Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation to the State agency...

Because claimant is disqualified from receiving UI, claimant is also disqualified from receiving FPUC. The administrative law judge concludes that claimant has been overpaid FPUC in the gross amount of \$10,200.00 for the 17-week period between March 29, 2020 and July 25, 2020. Claimant is required to repay those benefits.

The issue of whether claimant has been overpaid LWA benefits will be remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an investigation and decision.

NOTE TO CLAIMANT: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information apply for PUA on how to can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information. If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits.

DECISION:

The July 27, 2020 (reference 02) unemployment insurance decision is reversed. Claimant was totally unemployed and not able to or available for work. Benefits are denied effective March 29, 2020.

Claimant has been overpaid regular unemployment insurance benefits in the gross amount of \$5,400.00 for the 25-week period between March 29, 2020 and September 19, 2020, which must be repaid. Claimant has been overpaid Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation in the gross amount of \$10,200.00 for the 17-week period between March 29, 2020 and July 25, 2020, which must be repaid.

REMAND:

The issue of whether claimant has been overpaid Lost Wages Assistance benefits is remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for investigation and decision.



Adrienne C. Williamson Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax (515)478-3528

September 30, 2020

Decision Dated and Mailed

acw/sam