IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CAMILLE A RIDOUT

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-00478-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DES STAFFING SERVICES INC

Employer

OC: 12/02/07 R: 02 Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.5-1-j – Separation from Temporary Employer

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

DES Staffing Services (employer) appealed a representative's January 10, 2008 decision (reference 03) that concluded Camille Ridout (claimant) was eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits based on her separation from work. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was scheduled for January 30, 2008. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Amy MacGregor, Human Resources Manager, and Janet Smith, Assistant Manager/Placement Specialist.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The employer is a temporary employment service. The claimant performed services from November 7 through 20, 2007. She signed a temporary contract on November 1, 2007, indicating that she was to contact the employer within three days following the completion of an assignment to request placement in a new assignment. The employer did not give the claimant a copy of that contract. The claimant completed her last assignment on November 20, 2007, and sought reassignment from the employer on November 21, 2007. No work was available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge finds the claimant was not separated from the employer for any disqualifying reason. As an employee of a temporary service, the claimant was required to request reassignment after the completion of his last assignment.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

- (1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.
- (2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

As an employee of a temporary service, the employer must advise the claimant of a three day notice requirement apart from a contract for hire and give the claimant a copy of that requirement. The employer did not provide the claimant with the proper notice requirements and has, therefore, failed to satisfy the requirements of lowa Code section 96.5-1-j. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The representativ	e's January 1	0, 2008	decision	(reference	03) is	affirmed.	The cl	aimant v	vas
separated from the	ne employer	for good	cause	attributable	to the	employer.	. The	claiman	t is
eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits.									

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/css