# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**EFREN ARAGON** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 19A-UI-03618-S1-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**PELLA CORPORATION** 

Employer

OC: 04/07/19

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Efren Aragon (claimant) appealed a representative's April 23, 2019, decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits because he had voluntarily quit employment with Pella Corporation (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on May 21, 2019. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Jeff Heuton, Human Resources Manager, and Lisa Smith, Human Resources Representative. The claimant offered and Exhibit A was received into evidence.

## ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired on February 27, 2017, as a full-time forklift driver in the shipping department. On April 2, 2019, the supervisor saw the claimant microwaving his food in the breakroom at 8:27 p.m. The claimant's break did not start until 8:30 p.m. The supervisor verbally reprimanded the claimant for taking an early break.

Later, the claimant approached the supervisor and said he did not take an early break. The supervisor reprimanded the claimant again for seeing him in the breakroom prior to the start of his rest period. This upset the claimant, he told the supervisor he was quitting, and walked off the job.

On April 3, 2019, the claimant called the human resources department and asked for his job back. The claimant admitted that he quit after microwaving his food before break time. The human resources manager had accepted the claimant's resignation on April 2, 2019. Continued work was available for the claimant had he not separated from employment.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge finds the claimant voluntarily quit work without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(37) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(37) The claimant will be considered to have left employment voluntarily when such claimant gave the employer notice of an intention to resign and the employer accepted such resignation. This rule shall also apply to the claimant who was employed by an educational institution who has declined or refused to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of work for a successive academic term or year and the offer of work was within the purview of the individual's training and experience.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(28) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(28) The claimant left after being reprimanded.

A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980). The claimant's intention to voluntarily leave work was evidenced by his words and actions. He told the employer he was leaving and quit work. When an employee quits work after having been reprimanded, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. Likewise, when an employee gives notice of an intention to

quit and the employer accepts the employee's resignation, his leaving is without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant told the employer he was quitting after he was reprimanded and the employer accepted the claimant's resignation. His leaving was without good cause attributable to the employer. The claimant voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

### DECISION:

The representative's April 23, 2019, decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left work without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until the claimant has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the claimant's weekly benefit amount provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Beth A. Scheetz

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/rvs