IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CHARLOTTE J MCCULLOCK

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-10253-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PLEASANT VIEW CARE CENTER
WHITING COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION

Employer

OC: 06/26/11 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the July 28, 2011 (reference 01) decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on August 29, 2011. Claimant participated. Employer participated through administrator, Katie Smith.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant is able to and available for work effective June 26, 2011.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant remains employed but on June 24, 2011 she opted to work prn or on-call after the bridge between her home and work was closed due to flooding. She has decided not to take alternate routes in her commute, which would require additional mileage. The bridge may be open in late September or early October 2011. Continued work is available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is not able to work and available for work.

Iowa Code § 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as

defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

871 IAC 24.22(2) provides:

Benefits eligibility conditions. For an individual to be eligible to receive benefits the department must find that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work. The individual bears the burden of establishing that the individual is able to work, available for work, and earnestly and actively seeking work.

(2) Available for work. The availability requirement is satisfied when an individual is willing, able, and ready to accept suitable work which the individual does not have good cause to refuse, that is, the individual is genuinely attached to the labor market. Since, under unemployment insurance laws, it is the availability of an individual that is required to be tested, the labor market must be described in terms of the individual. A labor market for an individual means a market for the type of service which the individual offers in the geographical area in which the individual offers the service. Market in that sense does not mean that job vacancies must exist; the purpose of unemployment insurance is to compensate for lack of job vacancies. It means only that the type of services which an individual is offering is generally performed in the geographical area in which the individual is offering the services.

871 IAC 24.23(3) provides:

(3) If an individual places restrictions on employability as to the wages and type of work that is acceptable and when considering the length of unemployment, such individual has no reasonable expectancy of securing work, such individual will be deemed not to have met the availability requirements of lowa Code § 96.4(3).

871 IAC 24.23(4) and (18) provide:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

- (4) If the means of transportation by an individual was lost from the individual's residence to the area of the individual's usual employment, the individual will be deemed not to have met the availability requirements of the law. However, an individual shall not be disqualified for restricting employability to the area of usual employment. (See subrule 24.24(7).
- (18) Where the claimant's availability for work is unduly limited because such claimant is willing to work only in a specific area although suitable work is available in other areas where the claimant is expected to be available for work.

Transportation is the responsibility of the employee and the temporary separation is not because of any action by the employer. Since there is no authorization for disaster unemployment benefits for that area or time period, and claimant opted not to work rather than make a temporary longer commute, benefits must be denied.

Page 3 Appeal No. 11A-UI-10253-LT

DECISION:

The July 28, 2011 (reference	e 01) decisior	n is affirmed.	The claimant	is not	able to	work	and
available for work effective Ju	une 26, 2011.	Benefits are	denied.				

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dml/css