IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 **DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**

68-0157 (7-97) - 3091078 - EI

VANCE L JORGENSEN 803 STEVENS **IOWA FALLS IA 50126**

MANPOWER INC OF CEDAR RAPIDS **1220 INDUSTRIAL AVE** HIAWATHA IA 52233-1155

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-06209-HT

R: 02 OC: 02/08/04 Claimant: Respondent (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor-Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-3-a – Refusal of Work

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer, Manpower, filed an appeal from a decision dated May 27, 2004, reference 01. The decision allowed benefits to the claimant, Vance Jorgensen. After due notice was issued a hearing was held by telephone conference call on June 30, 2004. The claimant participated on his own behalf. The employer participated by Risk Control Manager Debbie Chamberlain.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witnesses and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Vance Jorgensen filed a claim for unemployment benefits with an effective date of February 8, 2004. His average weekly wage during his base period was \$458.85.

On May 11, 2004, a representative from Manpower, Katrina Moore, contacted the claimant with an offer of work at Berry Plastics in Iowa Falls, Iowa. It paid \$8.40 per hour, or \$336.00 per week. This offer was made during Mr. Jorgensen's fourteenth week of unemployment. He refused the job because he did not feel it paid enough, and he was put out that he had applied already at Berry Plastics and felt the company should have hired him directly. The claimant has experience in this area as his prior employer was Plastic Recycling of Iowa.

Vance Jorgensen has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of February 8, 2004.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant is disqualified. The judge concludes he is.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.

- (3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

The claimant did have experience working in a similar industry, and he had applied for work directly with Berry Plastics, so he apparently felt the work was suitable and within his background and training. His reasons for refusing the job were due to his dissatisfaction with not being hired directly by the company when he had applied directly, and his belief that the wages were not sufficient. However, the job paid \$336.00 per week and this is more than 70 percent of his average weekly wage during his base period. Under the provisions of the above Code section, this was a suitable wage for a job offered during the fourteenth week of unemployment. The record establishes the claimant did not have good cause for refusing the work as it was suitable, paid a sufficient wage and was within his experience and training.

Iowa Code Section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The claimant has received unemployment benefits to which he is not entitled. These must be recovered in accordance with the provisions of lowa law.

DECISION:

The representative's decision of May 27, 2004, reference 01, is reversed. Vance Jorgensen is disqualified as of the week ending May 15, 2004, and benefits are withheld until he has earned ten times his weekly benefit amount provided he is otherwise eligible. He is overpaid in the amount of \$1,258.63.

bgh/kjf