2005, claimant made errors in dispatch about addresses, an incomplete call, and dispatching appropriate personnel. She had some training and did her job to the best of her ability but in the fact-finding interview notes Lisa Lawton stated that claimant "was not comprehending." Boone County Sheriff Ronald Fehr wrote to IWD on September 14, 2005 that claimant "is unable to perform her duties and has been a dispatcher for another agency and trained with Boone County for over 3 months and still [is] unable to perform."

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code Section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. <u>Huntoon v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

Failure in job performance due to inability or incapacity is not considered misconduct because the actions were not volitional. <u>Huntoon v. lowa Department of Job Service</u>, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (lowa 1979). Where an individual is discharged due to a failure in job performance, proof of that individual's ability to do the job is required to justify disgualification, rather than accepting

the employer's subjective view. To do so is to impermissibly shift the burden of proof to the claimant. Kelly v. IDJS, 386 N.W.2d 552 (Iowa App. 1986).

While employer certainly had an interest in separating claimant from this safety sensitive and detail oriented position, employer acknowledged that she was unable to perform her job duties in spite of training. Inasmuch as claimant did attempt to perform the job to the best of her ability but was unable to meet the employer's expectations, no intentional misconduct has been established, as is the employer's burden of proof. <u>Cosper v. IDJS</u>, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). Accordingly, no disqualification pursuant to Iowa Code §96.5(2)a is imposed.

## **DECISION:**

The October 4, 2005, reference 01, decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

dml/s