IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ALINA ALVAREZ 2412 HIGHLAND PARK AVE FORT DODGE IA 50501-5452

TRINITY REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER ATTN: TINA LEONARD 802 KENYON RD FORT DODGE IA 50501

APPEAL 20A-UI-14247-JC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

REQUEST TO REOPEN AND APPEAL RIGHTS:

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the mailing date below the administrative law judge's signature on the last page of the decision, you or any interested party:

Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 or Fax (515)281-7191

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

The name, address and social security number of the claimant.

A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.

The grounds upon w hich such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a law yer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a law yer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

ONLINE RESOURCES:

UI law and administrative rules: https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/unemployment-insurance-law-and-administrative-rules
UI Benefits Handbook: https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/unemployment-insurance-benefits-handbook-quide-unemployment-insurance-benefits

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEM PLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

ALINA ALVAREZ

Claimant

APPEAL 20A-UI-14247-JC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

TRINITY REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER

Employer

OC: 04/19/20

Claimant: Respondent (1R)

lowa Code § 96.4(3) – Ability to and Availability for Work

lowa Code § 96.19(38)a & b – Total and Partial Unemployment

lowa Code § 96.7(2)a(2) – Same Base Period Employment

lowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

lowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

PL116-136, Sec. 2104 – Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer/appellant, Trinity Medical Center, filed an appeal to the May 29 initial decision (reference 01) which allowed benefits and did not relieve the employer of charges. After proper notice, a telephone hearing was conducted on January 8, 2021. Employer participated through Tina Leonard. Claimant did not respond to the notice of hearing to furnish a phone number with the Appeals Bureau and did not participate in the hearing. Official notice was taken of the administrative records. Department Exhibit D-1 was admitted.

NOTE TO EMPLOYER:

If you wish to change the contact of record, please access your account at: https://www.myjowaui.org/UITIPTaxWeb/.

ISSUE:

Is the appeal timely?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Employer states it does not protest the receipt of benefits, but does not believe it should be charged based upon its understanding of the CARES act.

An initial unemployment insurance decision (Reference 01) resulting in an allowance of benefits was mailed to the employer's last known address of record on May 29, 2020. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau by June 8, 2020. The employer received the decision within the appeal period. The appeal was not filed until November 6, 2020. See Department Exhibit D-1. Employer filed its appeal after receiving its statement of charges dated October 15, 2020.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the employer appeal is untimely.

lowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

Filing – determination – appeal.

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

Date of submission and extension of time for payments and notices.

- (2) The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.
- a. For submission that is not within the statutory or regulatory period to be considered timely, the interested party must submit a written explanation setting forth the circumstances of the delay.
- b. The division shall designate personnel who are to decide whether an extension of time shall be granted.
- c. No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the department after considering the circumstances in the case.
- d. If submission is not considered timely, although the interested party contends that the delay was due to division error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States postal service, the division shall issue an appealable decision to the interested party.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev., 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); Johnson v. Board of Adjustment, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (lowa 1976).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to

assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. lowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); Smith v. lowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (lowa 1973).

The record shows that the employer/appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal. The administrative law judge concludes that failure to follow the clear written instructions to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the lowa Employment Security Law was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2).

The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to lowa Code § 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See, Beardslee v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979) and Franklin v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 277 N.W.2d 877 (lowa 1979).

The issue of whether the employer will be charged for benefits paid due to the COVID 19 pandemic will be remanded to the Tax Bureau of lowa Workforce Development for an initial determination on the allocation of charges.

DECISION:

The May 29, 2020, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely, and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

REMAND:

The issue of whether the employer will be charged for benefits paid due to the COVID 19 pandemic will be remanded to the Tax Bureau of lowa Workforce Development for an initial determination on the allocation of charges.

genrique & Beckman

Jennifer L. Beckman
Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau
lowa Workforce Development
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209
Fax 515-478-3528

January 25, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

jlb/scn