IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

JOSEPH M MAUSSER

APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-01558-NT

Claimant

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 02/17/08 R: 04 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.3-7 – Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed a representative's decision dated January 28, 2009, reference 03, that concluded the claimant was overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$3,470.00 as the result of a disqualification decision of an administrative law judge dated January 16, 2009. A telephone hearing was scheduled for and held on February 19, 2009, pursuant to due notice. The claimant participated.

ISSUE:

At issue in this matter is whether Joseph Mausser has been overpaid job insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: The overpayment issue in this case was created by a disqualification decision that was dated January 16, 2009. Mr. Mausser testified that he has filed an appeal with the Employment Appeal Board disagreeing with the administrative law judge's decision but has not received a decision from the Employment Appeal Board as of the time of hearing.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this states pursuant to section 602.10101.

The administrative law judge concludes that the claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$3,470.00 for the ten weeks between November 2, 2008 and January 10, 2009 based upon a decision of an administrative law judge dated January 16, 2009 which disqualified the claimant from receiving unemployment insurance benefits for that period. The claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.3-7 subject to the determination of the Employment Appeal Board which may later be issued in this matter.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated January 28, 2009, reference 03, is affirmed. The claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$3,470.00 and is liable to repay that amount unless the decision of the administrative law judge dated January 16, 2009 is subsequently reversed by the Employment Appeal Board.

Terence P. Nice Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	

css/css