# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

**SUSAN M BRIERLY** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 20A-UI-00861-DG-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**ABCM CORPORATION** 

Employer

OC: 12/29/19

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(1) – Voluntary Quitting – Change in Contract of Hire

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated January 24, 2020, (reference 01) that held claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on February 28, 2020. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Kirsten Fisher, Administrator. Employer's Exhibits 1-4 were admitted into evidence.

#### ISSUE:

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer?

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on December 29, 2019. Claimant left employment on that date because she received notice that her employment status had changed from full-time to part-time.

Claimant began working for employer as a full-time dietary cook on October 7, 2019. During orientation claimant was told she was eligible to receive health care benefits. Claimant checked a box on the forms she received indicating that she wanted to receive those benefits, and she agreed to have payments for those services deducted from her pay.

On or about December 10, 2019 employer met with claimant and discussed her health insurance choices with employer. Claimant was told that she was required to take additional steps by going online and making application directly to employer's health insurance vendor. Claimant did not understand she had to take those steps, and she told employer again that she wanted health insurance as she had been promised at the time of hire.

Employer met with claimant on December 18, 2019. Claimant was told that she would need to change her work status to part-time and then in four months go back to full-time which would be a qualifying event that would allow her to enroll in insurance benefits at that time. Claimant was

told that it would be on paper only, and she would continue to work full-time as she had agreed at the time of hire. Claimant was not sure about what the employer was offering, and she told employer she wanted time to consider her options.

On December 29, 2019 claimant received a copy of her work schedule. That schedule indicated she had been reduced to 22 hours a week. Claimant could not accept part-time employment so she decided that she must leave the employment on that date.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did voluntarily leave the employment with good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

In general, a substantial pay reduction of 25 to 35 percent or a similar reduction of working hours creates good cause attributable to the employer for a resignation. Dehmel v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 433 N.W.2d 700 (Iowa 1988). A notice of an intent to guit had been required by Cobb v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), Suluki v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (lowa 1993), and Swanson v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (lowa Ct. App. 1996). Those cases required an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. However, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-guit requirement. The requirement was only added to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-guit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Emp't Appeal Bd., 710 N.W.2d 1 (lowa 2005). A refusal to accept a night shift position at a sanitarium constitutes a good cause guit attributable to the employer when that shift would endanger the claimant's health. Forrest Park Sanitarium v. Miller, 333 Iowa 1341, 11 N.W.2d 582 (Iowa 1943).

Since there was no disqualifying basis for the demotion, the quit because of the change in contract of hire was with good cause attributable to the employer. Inasmuch as the claimant

would suffer a loss of pay, and benefits, and employer has not established misconduct as a reason for the effective demotion, the change of the original terms of hire is considered substantial. Thus the separation was with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed.

# **DECISION:**

The January 24, 2020, (reference 01) decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Duane L. Golden Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

dlg/scn