# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**SYDNI R CURTIS** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 20A-UI-10469-JC-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**MANOR CARE** 

Employer

OC: 03/29/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quitting

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant, Sydni R. Curtis, filed an appeal from the August 19, 2020 (reference 06) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon the claimant's discharge from employment on May 29, 2020. After proper notice, a telephone hearing was conducted on October 15, 2020. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated through Beverly Peterson, human resources director. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative records. Based on the evidence, the arguments presented, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

#### ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed full-time as a nurse's aide beginning February 7, 2020 and was separated from employment on May 27, 2020, when she was discharged. Claimant last physically worked on May 19, 2020.

Claimant was discharged based upon a single incident which occurred on May 19, 2020. During her shift that day, claimant went to the parking lot, while clocked in, and smoked marijuana. Claimant had been trained on employer rules and procedures at hire, which included a prohibition of drugs and alcohol usage at the workplace.

Ms. Peterson confronted claimant and observed claimant had glassy eyes, was lethargic and emitted an odor of marijuana. Claimant admitted to smoking marijuana on work premises, stating she had messed up and was stressed out. Claimant consented to a drug screening, which confirmed the presence of marijuana in her body. She was subsequently discharged.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

lowa unemployment insurance law disqualifies individuals who are discharged from employment for misconduct from receiving unemployment insurance benefits. Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a. They remain disqualified until such time as they requalify for benefits by working and earning insured wages ten times their weekly benefit amount. *Id*.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job related misconduct. Cosper v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 321 N.W.2d 6 (lowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. Infante v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 364 N.W.2d 262 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). Misconduct serious enough to warrant discharge is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. Such misconduct must be "substantial." Newman v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). The focus of the administrative code definition of misconduct is on deliberate, intentional or culpable acts by the employee. Id.

Claimant admitted to engaging in smoking marijuana at the workplace on May 19, 2020. Claimant's actions violated state law. The administrative law judge is persuaded the claimant knew or should have known her conduct was contrary to the best interests of the employer. Further, the claimant's actions were in deliberate disregard of employer's interest in maintaining a drug-free workplace. The employer established the claimant was terminated for job-related misconduct. Benefits are denied.

## **DECISION:**

The August 19, 2020, (reference 06) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.



Jennifer L. Beckman Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau Iowa Workforce Development 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

October 16, 2020

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

## ilb/sam

Note to Claimant: This decision denies benefits. If this decision becomes final or if you are not eligible for PUA, you may have an overpayment of benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at

https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information.