BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

| LANCE REDMOND | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| Claimant | HEARING NUMBER: 20BUI-00747 |
| and | EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD |
| T-J SERVICE INC | NUNC PRO TUNC |
| Employer | |
| | NOTICE |

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.6-2

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds it cannot affirm the administrative law judge's decision. The Employment Appeal Board **REVERSES** and **REMANDS** as set forth below.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

On January 2, 2020, a Notice of Claim was mailed to T-J Service, Inc. (Employer). The decision was mailed to the Employer's last known address as supplied to Iowa Workforce. The Employer did not receive the notice until January 18, 2020, after the due date of January 12, 2020. The Employer filed its protest on January 20, 2020.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

lowa Code 96.6 provides:

2. *Initial determination*. ... Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

00747

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive - but not conclusive - evidence of the date of mailing. There is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and the Administrative Law Judge and this Board have no authority to change the decision of representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. Iowa Dept. Job Service, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). The ten day period for appealing an initial determination concerning a claim for benefits has been described as jurisdictional. Messina v. lowa Dept. of Job Service, 341 N.W.2d 52, 55 (Iowa 1983); Beardslee v. lowa Dept. Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979). The only basis for changing the ten-day period would be where notice to the appealing party was constitutionally invalid. E.g. Beardslee v. lowa Dept. Job Service, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979). The question in such cases becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. lowa Employment Sec. Commission, 217 N.W.2d 255 (lowa 1974); Smith v. lowa Employment Sec. Commission, 212 N.W.2d 471 (Iowa 1973). The question of whether the Claimant has been denied a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal is also informed by rule 871-24.35(2) which states that "the submission of any ...appeal...not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service."

Here the evidence establishes the Employer did not receive the Notice of Claim until nearly a week after the protest was due because of postal delay. For this reason, we shall find the Employer's protest timely.

DECISION:

The administrative law judge's decision dated February 19, 2020 is **REVERSED & REMANDED** to lowa Workforce Development, Benefits Bureau, to conduct a Fact-finding Interview and issue a decision that provides the party's appeal rights.

Ashley R. Koopmans

James M. Strohman

Kim D. Schmett

AMG/fnv