

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

CHRISTINE R CRAIN
302 ADAMS
GALENA IL 61036

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-04964-AT
OC: 04-04-04 R: 12
Claimant: Appellant (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the **Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319**.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.4-3 – Work Search Warning

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Christine R. Crain filed a timely appeal from an unemployment insurance decision dated April 23, 2004 reference 01 which issued a warning to her upon a finding that she had made fewer than two in-person job contacts during the week ending April 17, 2004. After reviewing all matters of record, the administrative law judge concludes that no hearing is necessary.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having examined all matters of record, the administrative law judge finds: During the week ending April 17, 2004 Christine R. Crain of Galena, Illinois, made one in-person job contact but

sent out three resumes in response to newspaper advertisements which indicated they would not accept in-person contacts.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The question is whether the warning should be removed from the claimant's record. It should. The evidence establishes that Ms. Crain submitted resumes only because the employers in question noted in their advertisements that they would not accept in-person contacts. Under these circumstances, her use of resumes was appropriate. The warning is removed.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated April 23, 2004 reference 01 is reversed. The warning is removed from the claimant's record.

sb/kjf