IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

QUINN E NIERLING

Claimant

APPEAL 15A-UI-00432-JCT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

MEREDIAN MANUFACTURING

Employer

OC: 12/28/14

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the December 28, 2014 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon the claimant's separation. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on February 6, 2015. The claimant participated. The employer participated through Marla Smith, human resources manager.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged for disqualifying job-related misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed full time as a yard worker and was separated from employment on December 22, 2014 when he was discharged for theft.

As a yard worker, the claimant had no business needing access to the accounting manager's office but obtained a key to it. On December 20, 2014 the claimant entered the accounting manager's office and removed \$5 without permission. The claimant admitted to the theft and it was confirmed through video surveillance. The claimant also admitted to have taking \$50 on a separate occasion. At the time of discharge, the claimant was also arrested by law enforcement for theft.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(1)a provides:

Discharge for misconduct.

- (1) Definition.
- a. "Misconduct" is defined as a deliberate act or omission by a worker which constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of such worker's contract of employment. Misconduct as the term is used in the disqualification provision as being limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. On the other hand mere inefficiency, unsatisfactory conduct, failure in good performance as the result of inability or incapacity, inadvertencies or ordinary negligence in isolated instances, or good faith errors in judgment or discretion are not to be deemed misconduct within the meaning of the statute.

This definition has been accepted by the Iowa Supreme Court as accurately reflecting the intent of the legislature. *Huntoon v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 275 N.W.2d 445, 448 (Iowa 1979).

The claimant's theft of company property shows a willful or wanton disregard of the standard of behavior the employer has the right to expect from an employee, as well as an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests and of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. The employer discharged the claimant for reasons amounting to work-connected misconduct. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The December 28, 2014 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Jennifer L. Coe

Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

jlc/can