IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

WAYMANITA L HILLMAN

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-00859-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ROYAL HOST MANAGEMENT INC

Employer

OC: 12/30/07 R: 04 Claimant: Respondent (2)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated January 18, 2008, reference 01, which held claimant eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on February 11, 2008. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Carol Makoui, General Manager, and Marsha Abernathy, Human Resource Coordinator. Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.

ISSUE:

The issues in this matter are whether the claimant quit for good cause attributable to the employer and whether claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on November 14, 2007. Claimant was being reprimanded by her supervisor when an argument broke out. Employer refused to allow two weeks' notice, instead telling claimant to work her schedule. Claimant became angry and argued about the problem. Claimant gave her two weeks' notice to quit. Claimant's resignation was accepted by employer but not with a specific two-week notice. Claimant was upset with the supervisor because of the manner in which claimant had been reprimanded. Claimant had not been verbally abused or assaulted in the past. Claimant had multiple reprimands on her record. Claimant was unhappy with the reprimands.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has failed to establish that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to the employer when claimant terminated the employment relationship because of a conflict with her employer and dissatisfaction with being reprimanded. The treatment by the supervisor does not amount to an intolerable and detrimental working condition. Claimant was being verbally reprimanded concerning work issues. This is not good cause attributable to employer for a quit. Benefits withheld.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(22), (28) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

- (22) The claimant left because of a personality conflict with the supervisor.
- (28) The claimant left after being reprimanded.

The next issue concerns an overpayment of unemployment insurance benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7 provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The administrative law judge holds that claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$400.00, pursuant to lowa Code section 96.3-7, because a decision has determined claimant is ineligible to receive benefits due to a voluntary quit without good cause attributable to employer.

Since claimant has been disqualified for the receipt of unemployment insurance benefits, the claim shall be locked until claimant has re-qualified or is otherwise eligible.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated January 18, 2008, reference 01, is reversed. Unemployment insurance benefits are withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible. Claimant is overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$400.00.

Marlon Mormann Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

mdm/kjw