# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**ROBERT C CASTEEL** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 13A-UI-07505-MT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

PETE HARKNESS CHEVROLET BUICK PONTIAC

Employer

OC: 06/02/13

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated June 18, 2013, reference 01, which held claimant ineligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on July 30, 2013. Claimant participated. Employer participated by Jeffrey Woodward, General Manager and Owner. Exhibit A was admitted into evidence.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue in this matter is whether claimant quit for good cause attributable to employer.

# **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant last worked for employer on May 25, 2013. Claimant quit work due to the rate of pay. Claimant was informed at the time of hire that he would be paid on commission. Employer did not change the rate of pay during claimant's term of employment. Continued work was available if claimant had not quit.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge holds that the evidence has failed to establish that claimant voluntarily quit for good cause attributable to employer when claimant terminated the employment relationship because he was unsatisfied with the rate of pay. Since claimant was aware of his pay at hire, this is not good cause attributable to employer for a quit.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

871 IAC 24.25(13) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(13) The claimant left because of dissatisfaction with the wages but knew the rate of pay when hired.

## **DECISION:**

The decision of the representative dated June 18, 2013, reference 01, is affirmed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible.

| Marlon Mormann<br>Administrative Law Judge |  |
|--|--|
| Decision Dated and Mailed                  |  |
| mdm/pjs                                    |  |