IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section
1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319
DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

JOHN A LAFFERTY 12438 CUSTER ST YUCAIPA CA 92399

UNITED PARCEL SERVICE °/<sub>0</sub> TALX UCM SERVICES PO BOX 283 ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283 Appeal Number: 05A-UI-07073-HT

OC: 06/12/05 R: 12 Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

 (Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5(1) - Quit

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant, John Lafferty, filed an appeal from a decision dated June 29, 2005, reference 01. The decision disqualified him from receiving unemployment benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on July 27, 2005. The claimant participated on his own behalf. The employer, United Parcel Service (UPS), did not provide a telephone number where a representative could be contacted and did not participate.

## FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witness and having examined all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: John Lafferty was employed by UPS beginning September 1979. He was a full-time package driver.

On April 1, 2005, the claimant told his supervisor, Jeremy Carmichael, and Tim, the center manager, he would be quitting to move to California to take care of a family member. His last day of work would be April 29, 2005, after which he would take his seven weeks of vacation. When he left, his manager told him if it "did not work out" he could come back to UPS in Des Moines, Iowa, at the end of his seven weeks of vacation. He was not able to return and his employment ended.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the claimant is disqualified. The judge concludes he is.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

# 871 IAC 24.25(2) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(2) The claimant moved to a different locality.

The claimant resigned in order to move to another state. This was for personal reasons and not attributable to the employer. He has not returned to UPS and offered to return to work. Under the provisions of the above Administrative Code section, this does not constitute good cause attributable to the employer and the claimant is disqualified.

## **DECISION:**

The representative's decision of June 29, 2005, reference 01, is affirmed. John Lafferty is disqualified and benefits are withheld until he has earned ten times his weekly benefit amount provided he is otherwise eligible.

bgh/kjw