# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**CHARLES BOWMAN** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 17A-UI-05813-B2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

A & D DATA PROCESSING INC

Employer

OC: 04/02/17

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.3-5 – Duration of Benefits (Employer Going Out of Business/Re-computation of Wage Credits)

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated May 26, 2017, reference 03, which held claimant ineligible for business closing benefits pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.3-5 insurance benefits. After due notice, a hearing was scheduled for and held on June 20, 2017. Claimant participated personally. Employer participated by Dennis Swarthout.

# **ISSUE:**

The issue presented in this appeal is whether the claimant was laid off due to the employer going out of business and, therefore, is entitled to have the wage credits re-computed.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: Claimant was laid off by employer on April 14, 2017 because employer's business is planning on closing in the future as the Pizza Hut restaurants are being sold by the franchisee who owns them and employer's business of providing administrative services and accounting for the franchises will no longer be needed.

Employer did not state the official date of closure of the business, but anticipated that it might be as soon as July 31, 2017.

Claimant has not received his maximum benefit amount for which he is eligible at this time.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The administrative law judge holds that the claimant was not laid off as a result of the employer going out of business as the business is still in operation at this time and therefore is not entitled to a re-computation of wage credits at this time.

Iowa Code § 96.3(5)a provides:

a. Duration of benefits. The maximum total amount of benefits payable to an eligible individual during a benefit year shall not exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to the individual's account during the individual's base period, or twenty-six times the individual's weekly benefit amount, whichever is the lesser. The director shall maintain a separate account for each individual who earns wages in insured work. The director shall compute wage credits for each individual by crediting the individual's account with one-third of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. However, the director shall recompute wage credits for an individual who is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, by crediting the individual's account with one-half, instead of one-third, of the wages for insured work paid to the individual during the individual's base period. Benefits paid to an eligible individual shall be charged against the base period wage credits in the individual's account which have not been previously charged, in the inverse chronological order as the wages on which the wage credits are based were paid. However if the state "off" indicator is in effect and if the individual is laid off due to the individual's employer going out of business at the factory, establishment, or other premises at which the individual was last employed, the maximum benefits payable shall be extended to thirty-nine times the individual's weekly benefit amount, but not to exceed the total of the wage credits accrued to the individual's account.

### **DECISION:**

The decision of the representative dated May 26, 2017, reference 03 is affirmed. The claimant is not entitled to have the unemployment insurance claim re-determined as a business closing, including a re-computation of wage credits at this time as the business hasn't closed. The claimant's request for such re-determination and re-computation is denied at this time. Claimant may attempt to resubmit an application for business closing benefits at the time the business has actually closed.

Blair A. Bennett
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bab/rvs