IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

ANNE SHERVE-OSE

Claimant

APPEAL 16A-UI-12460-JP-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA VALLEY COMM COLLEGE DISTRICT

Employer

OC: 07/24/16

Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Employer filed an appeal from the November 14, 2016, (reference 04) decision that found the protest untimely and allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on December 7, 2016. Claimant participated. The employer participated by human resources Kristine Wyatt. Colleen Springer registered for the hearing on behalf of the employer, but she did not attend the hearing. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record, including the Notice of Claim and protest.

ISSUE:

Is the employer's protest timely?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant's notice of claim was mailed to employer's address of record on August 1, 2016, and was received by employer within ten days. The notice of claim contains a warning that any protest must be postmarked, faxed or returned not later than ten days from the initial mailing date. Ms. Springer faxed the employer's protest to Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) on August 3, 2016. The employer did not realize there was an issue with their protest until it received the quarterly wage statement and claimant's name was on it. The employer then contacted IWD to find out why the employer had not received a decision regarding claimant's claim status. The IWD employee told Ms. Wyatt that IWD had not received the employer's protest. Ms. Wyatt then resent the employer's protest to IWD and it was marked received on November 8, 2016.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The administrative law judge concludes that the employer's protest is considered timely filed.

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date

of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

The law provides that all interested parties shall be promptly notified about an individual filing a claim. The parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of claim to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). Another portion of section 96.6(2) dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the lowa Supreme Court has held that this statute clearly limits the time to do so, and compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. *Beardslee v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979). The reasoning and holding of the Beardslee court is considered controlling on the portion of lowa Code section 96.6(2) that deals with the time limit to file a protest after the notice of claim has been mailed to the employer.

Ms. Wyatt credibly testified that Ms. Springer faxed IWD the employer's protest in a timely manner on August 3, 2016; however, the agency did not receive the fax transmission. When the employer became aware that IWD did not receive its protest, the employer contacted IWD and re-filed its protest. Therefore, the protest shall be accepted as timely.

DECISION:

The November 14, 2016, (reference 04) decision is reversed. The employer filed a timely protest.

REMAND:

jp/pjs

The separation issue is remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for a fact-finding interview and unemployment insurance decision.

Jeremy Peterson Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	