# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**EDMUNDO M AGUILAR** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 07A-UI-02582-LT** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

FOUNDATION PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

Employer

OC: 09/03/07 R: 01 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the November 9, 2006, reference 02, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a telephone conference hearing was held on April 23, 2007. Claimant participated. Employer participated through Diane Wink.

#### ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant's appeal is timely and whether claimant quit the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having heard the testimony and having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A disqualification decision was mailed to the claimant's address of record on November 9, 2006. The claimant did not receive the decision prior to the appeal deadline, because he was out of the country from October 29, 2006 through March 10, 2007. He filed his appeal on March 14, 2007.

Claimant was employed as a part-time repair person from August 29, 2005 until September 21, 2006, when he quit. The job description under which he was hired provided for 20 to 30 hours per week, and requirements of basic plumbing and electrical repair skills, and that claimant must have his own tools. The hours were reduced from 30 to 20 in part because of disputes between the parties about which jobs claimant would undertake and which he would not or was not able to do.

## **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

The first issue to be considered in this appeal is whether the claimant's appeal is timely. The administrative law judge determines it is.

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5. except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary guit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The claimant did not have an opportunity to appeal the fact-finder's decision because the decision was sent while he was out of the country. Without timely notice of a disqualification, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See *Smith v. Iowa Employment Security Commission*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The claimant filed the appeal within four days of receipt. Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

The remaining issue is whether claimant quit the employment with good cause attributable to the employer. The administrative law judge concludes that he did not.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

## 871 IAC 24.26(1) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(1) A change in the contract of hire. An employer's willful breach of contract of hire shall not be a disqualifiable issue. This would include any change that would jeopardize the worker's safety, health or morals. The change of contract of hire must be substantial in nature and could involve changes in working hours, shifts, remuneration, location of employment, drastic modification in type of work, etc. Minor changes in a worker's routine on the job would not constitute a change of contract of hire.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). An individual who voluntarily leaves their employment must first give notice to the employer of the reasons for quitting in order to give the employer an opportunity to address or resolve the complaint. *Cobb v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 506 N.W.2d 445 (Iowa 1993). Claimant was not required to give notice of his intention to quit due to an intolerable, detrimental or unsafe working environment if employer had or should have had reasonable knowledge of the condition. *Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005).

Without reaching the issue of whether the reduction in hours was related to any alleged misconduct, since claimant was hired to work between 20 and 30 hours and employer provided at least 20 hours of work per week, even after the reduction in hours, claimant did not sustain a substantial change in the contract (agreement) of hire. Benefits are denied.

#### **DECISION:**

The November 9, 2006, reference 02, decision is affirmed. The claimant's appeal is timely. Claimant quit his job without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge
Decision Dated and Mailed
dml/kjw