IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

	00-0157 (8-00) - 3081078 - El
CINDY J ELLER Claimant	APPEAL NO. 08A-UI-08833-NT
	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION
DOLLAR TREE STORES INC Employer	
	OC: 06/22/08 B: 02

OC: 06/22/08 R: 02 Claimant: Appellant (1)

69 01F7 (0 06) 2001079 EL

Section 96.4-3 – Able and Available Section 96.6-2 – Timeliness of Appeal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Cindy J. Eller filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated August 5, 2008, reference 01, which held the claimant was not able to perform work, denying benefits effective July 20, 2008. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone on October 21, 2008. Ms. Eller participated personally. The employer participated by Nathan Cunningham.

ISSUE:

At issue in this matter is whether the appeal filed herein was timely.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds: The disqualification was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record on August 5, 2008. The decision was received at the claimant's address of record. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by August 15, 2008. The appeal was not filed until October 2, 2008, which is after the date noticed on the disqualification decision. Following surgery that had taken place on July 22, 2008, Ms. Eller resided at a different location for a number of weeks. The claimant made no arrangements to have mail forwarded by the United States Postal Service or picked up by an individual and delivered to her.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disgualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disgualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary guit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing.

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The Iowa Supreme Court has declared there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. <u>Franklin v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. <u>Beardslee v. Iowa Department of Job Service</u>, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes that failure to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to 871 IAC 24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6-2 and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal.

DECISION:

The representative's decision dated August 5, 2008, reference 01, is hereby affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely filed and the decision of the representative remains in effect.

Terence P. Nice Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

css/css