**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section** 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 **DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE** 

68-0157 (7-97) - 3091078 - EI

**TERESA A TUCKER** 1269 DOWNING CT WATERLOO IA 50701

**AFFINA** PO BOX 4600 **131 TOWER PARK DR #300** WATERLOO IA 50704

**Appeal Number:** 04A-UI-05856-BT

OC: 02/01/04 R: 3 Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor-Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

#### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the 1. claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)
(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.4-3 - Availability for Work

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Teresa Tucker (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated May 17, 2004, reference 03, which held that she was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because she does not meet the availability requirements of the law. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on June 16, 2004. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Lynn Klein, Senior Human Relations Representative.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired as a full-time customer service representative on December 2, 2002 and she continues to be employed in that capacity. There has been no separation from employment. As of May 2003, all full-time employees were placed on flexible schedules, which range from 30 hours to 40 hours per week.

### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The first issue in this case is whether the claimant is able and available for work.

Iowa Code Section 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

## 871 IAC 24.23(23) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(23) The claimant's availability for other work is unduly limited because such claimant is working to such a degree that removes the claimant from the labor market.

The claimant has the burden of proof in establishing her ability and availability for work. <u>Davoren v. Iowa Employment Security Commission</u>, 277 N.W.2d 602 (Iowa 1979). She is working full-time for her employer and there has been no separation from her employment. Therefore, the claimant does not meet the availability requirements of the law. Benefits are denied.

### **DECISION:**

The unemployment insurance decision dated May 17, 2004, reference 03, is affirmed. The claimant does not meet the availability requirements of the law and is therefore not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits.

sdb/b