# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**CARRISSA WILLIAMS** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 20A-UI-10400-JE-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

**AEROTEK INC** 

Employer

OC: 05/31/20

Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.5-2-a – Discharge/Misconduct

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the August 20, 2020, reference 02, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on October 14, 2020. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer did not respond to the hearing notice and did not participate in the hearing.

## **ISSUE:**

The issue is whether the employer discharged the claimant for work-connected misconduct.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT:**

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time web support operator for Aerotek from January 27, 2020 to April 23, 2020. She was discharged for attendance.

During the claimant's training period her sister died and she had to travel to Mississippi. On the way home from Mississippi she became ill. She saw her doctor and was off work for one week. She returned to work for one week and was then diagnosed with pneumonia over the weekend and was absent for three days the following week. The claimant returned to work for approximately one week and then the office transitioned to working at home. She worked for about three weeks and then was ill again for three days. On April 22, 2020, the claimant notified the employer she was ill and on April 23, 2020, the employer terminated the claimant's employment. She did not receive any warnings about her attendance.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

Excessive absences are not considered misconduct unless unexcused. Absences due to properly reported illness cannot constitute job misconduct since they are not volitional. *Cosper v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). The standard in attendance cases is whether the claimant had an excessive <u>unexcused</u> absenteeism record. (Emphasis added). While the employer's policy may count absences accompanied by doctor's notes as unexcused, for the purposes of unemployment insurance benefits those absences are considered excused.

Because the claimant's final absence was related to properly reported illness, no final or current incident of unexcused absenteeism has been established. Therefore, benefits are allowed.

## **DECISION:**

The August 20, 2020, reference 02, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder

Administrative Law Judge

Julie Elder

October 16, 2020

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

je/sam