IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

LAURA BROWN

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 13A-UI-07814-ST

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

MARSDEN BLDG MAINTENANCE LLC

Employer

OC: 03/10/13

Claimant: Respondent (1)

Section 96.6-2 - Timeliness of Protest

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer appealed a department decision dated June 19, 2013, reference 03, that held it failed to file a timely protest regarding claimant's employment separation on February 22, 2013, and benefits are allowed. A telephone hearing was held on August 9, 2013. The claimant and employer did not participate. Employer Exhibit 1 was received as evidence.

ISSUE:

Whether the employer filed a timely protest.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge having heard the witness testimony and having considered the evidence in the record finds: The claimant filed an unemployment claim effective March 10, 2013. The department mailed a notice of claim to the employer's address of record on March 14 with a protest due date of March 25, 2013. The employer did not submit a protest.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant.

Another portion of this same Code section dealing with timeliness of an appeal from a representative's decision states that such an appeal must be filed within ten days after notification of that decision was mailed. In addressing an issue of timeliness of an appeal under that portion of this Code section, the Iowa Supreme Court held that this statute prescribing the time for notice of appeal clearly limits the time to do so, and that compliance with the appeal notice provision is mandatory and jurisdictional. Beardslee v. IDJS, 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979).

The administrative law judge considers the reasoning and holding of that court in that decision to be controlling on this portion of that same lowa Code section which deals with a time limit in which to file a protest after notification of the filing of the claim has been mailed. The employer has not shown any good cause for not complying with the jurisdictional time limit. Therefore, the administrative law judge is without jurisdiction to entertain any appeal regarding the separation from employment.

The administrative law judge concludes that the employer failed to file a timely protest. The department record does not show the employer protested claimant's unemployment claim.

DECISION:

The department decision dated June 19, 2013, reference 03, is affirmed. The employer failed to file a timely protest, and the department decision remains in force and effect.

Randy L. Stephenson
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

rls/css