IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

WENDY R BALTISBERGER

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-15070-DZ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

OX YOKE INN INC

Employer

OC: 03/28/21

Claimant: Appellant (1)

lowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timely Appeal

lowa Code §96.4(3) – Able to and Available for Work

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(10) - Leave of Absence

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Wendy R Baltisberger, the claimant/appellant, filed an appeal from the June 17, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied REGULAR unemployment insurance benefits. Iowa Workforce Development mailed a notice of hearing to the parties' last known addresses of record. A telephone hearing was held on August 25, 2021. Ms. Baltisberger participated and testified. The employer participated through Kathy Rasmussen, controller. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record.

ISSUES:

Is Ms. Baltisberger's appeal filed on time?

Is Ms. Baltisberger able to and available for work?

Is Ms. Baltisberger on a leave of absence?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The Unemployment Insurance Decision was mailed to Ms. Baltisberger at the correct address on June 17, 2021. The decision states that it becomes final unless an appeal is postmarked or received by Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) Appeals Section by June 27, 2021. If the date falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the appeal period is extended to the next working day. June 27, 2021 was a Sunday; therefore, the deadline was extended to Monday, June 28, 2021.

Ms. Baltisberger does not check her mail often due to her limited mobility. When Ms. Baltisberger checked her mail on June 28, 2021, she saw that she had received the decision. At some point in the next four day, Ms. Baltisberger called IWD to learn about her options. The representative told her that she could appeal. Ms. Baltisberger filed an appeal on July 2, 2021. The appeal was received by lowa Workforce Development on July 2, 2021.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the Ms. Baltisberger's appeal was not filed on time.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part: "[u]nless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision."

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(1) provides:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided by statute or by division rule, any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document submitted to the division shall be considered received by and filed with the division:
- (a) If transmitted via the United States Postal Service on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark, or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it is received; or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion.
- (b) If transmitted via the State Identification Date Exchange System (SIDES), maintained by the United States Department of Labor, on the date it was submitted to SIDES.
- (c) If transmitted by any means other than [United States Postal Service or the State Identification Data Exchange System (SIDES)], on the date it is received by the division.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

2. The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (lowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (lowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott* 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (lowa 1982).

Ms. Baltisberger received the decision in the mail before the deadline and, therefore, could have filed an appeal prior to the appeal deadline. The notice provision of the decision was valid. Ms. Baltisberger's delay in filing her appeal before the deadline was not due to an error or misinformation from the Department or due to delay or other action of the United States Postal Service. No other good cause reason has been established for the delay in filing her appeal before the deadline. Ms. Baltisberger's appeal was not filed on time and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction (authority) to decide the other issues in this matter.

DECISION:

Ms. Baltisberger's appeal was not filed on time. The June 17, 2021, (reference 01) decision is affirmed.

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge lowa Workforce Development Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau 1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209 Fax 515-478-3528

August 31, 2021
Decision Dated and Mailed

dz/mh