BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

:

OTIS C LEE

HEARING NUMBER: 16B-UI-02456

Claimant

.

and

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

DECISION

CARMELITE SISTERS FOR THE AGED

Employer

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-2-A, 96.5-1

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE DENIED

The Claimant appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board, one member dissenting, finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Kim D. Schn	nett	 	

DISSENTING OPINION OF ASHLEY R. KOOPMANS:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the
administrative law judge's decision. I would find that the Claimant continued working in a hostile work
environment after he complained. Thus, his quit was for good cause attributable to the Employer. I would
also point out that the court in Hy-Vee v. Employment Appeal Board, 710 N.W.2d 1 (Iowa 2005) held tha
the notice of intention to quit set forth in Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board, 506 N.W.2d 445 (Iowa 1993)
does not apply to quits involving detrimental and intolerable working conditions.

Ashley R. Koopmans	

The Employer submitted a written argument to the Employment Appeal Board. The Employment Appeal Board reviewed the argument. A portion of the argument consisted of additional evidence which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the argument and additional evidence were considered, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

Kim D. Schmett	
Ashley R. Koopmans	
James M. Strohman	

AMG/fnv