IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIONS AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

SHANNON J. FORD

Claimant

APPEAL 23A-UI-04394-CS-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

ELLIOTT OIL COMPANY

Employer

OC: 03/26/23

Claimant: Respondent (2)

Iowa Code §96.5(2)a-Discharge/Misconduct

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 - Employer/Representative Participation Fact-finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On April 27, 2023, the employer/appellant filed an appeal from the April 17, 2023, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that allowed benefits based on claimant being dismissed on March 30, 2023. The lowa Workforce Development representative determined there was no evidence of willful or deliberate misconduct. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was schedule to be held on May 15, 2023. The hearing was postponed due to the employer failing to submit their exhibits to claimant. The hearing was postponed and held on June 7, 2023, after proper notice. Claimant participated. Also present as a witness was claimant's husband, Nathan Ford. Employer participated through District Supervisor, Janet Cline. Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4 were admitted into the record.

ISSUES:

- I. Was the separation a layoff, discharge for misconduct, or voluntary quit without good cause?
- II. Should claimant repay benefits?
- III. Should the employer be charged due to employer participation in fact finding?
- IV. Is the claimant overpaid benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant began working for employer on September 5, 2022. Claimant last worked as a full-time deli manager. Claimant was separated from employment on March 30, 2023, when she was discharged due to violating the employer's standard of behavior policy.

The employer has a standard of behavior policy that in relevant part states: "I will have a positive attitude.... I understand that rudeness is unacceptable.... I will treat customers and co-workers

with respect and professionalism." (Exhibit 3). Claimant was aware of the policy and signed an acknowledgment of the standard of behavior on September 1, 2022. (Exhibit 2).

On March 28, 2023, at approximately 4:30 a.m. a disagreement occurred between claimant and her supervisor Rachell McMahon. During the incident claimant admits that she swore at her supervisor once. When she swore at her supervisor a customer was present approximately 10-14 feet away.

On March 30, 2023, claimant was discharged due to violating the standard of behavior when she swore at her supervisor in front of a customer. (Exhibit 1). Claimant did not have any prior verbal or written warnings for violating the standard of behavior policy.

Claimant filed for benefits with an effective date of March 26, 2023. (DBRO). Claimant's gross weekly benefits amount is \$274.00. (DBRO). Claimant began receiving benefits for the week of March 26, 2023, through June 3, 2023. (DBRO). Claimant has received eight weeks of benefits with a gross total of \$2,060.00 in unemployment insurance benefits. (DBRO).

The employer received the notice of fact-finding interview from Iowa Workforce Development. The employer did not participate in the interview because Ms. Cline was ill and gone from work at the time of the phone call.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The disqualification shall continue until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Iowa Code section 96.5(2)d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits, regardless of the source of the individual's wage credits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- d. For the purposes of this subsection, "misconduct" means a deliberate act or omission by an employee that constitutes a material breach of the duties and obligations arising out of the employee's contract of employment. Misconduct is limited to conduct evincing such willful or wanton disregard of an employer's interest as is found in deliberate violation or disregard of standards of behavior which the employer has the right to expect of employees, or in carelessness or negligence of such degree of recurrence as to manifest

equal culpability, wrongful intent or evil design, or to show an intentional and substantial disregard of the employer's interests or of the employee's duties and obligations to the employer. Misconduct by an individual includes but is not limited to all of the following:

- (1) Material falsification of the individual's employment application.
- (2) Knowing violation of a reasonable and uniformly enforced rule of an employer.
- (3) Intentional damage of an employer's property.
- (4) Consumption of alcohol, illegal or nonprescribed prescription drugs, or an impairing substance in a manner not directed by the manufacturer or a combination of such substances, on the employer's premises in violation of the employer's employment policies.
- (5) Reporting to work under the influence of alcohol, illegal or nonprescribed prescription drugs, or an impairing substance in an off-label manner, or a combination of such substances, on the employer's premises in violation of the employer's employment policies, unless the individual if compelled to work by the employer outside of scheduled or on-call working hours.
- (6) Conduct that substantially and unjustifiably endangers the personal safety of coworkers or the general public.
- (7) Incarceration for an act for which one could reasonably expect to be incarcerated that result in missing work.
- (8) Incarceration as a result of a misdemeanor or felony conviction by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (9) Excessive unexcused tardiness or absenteeism.
- (10) Falsification of any work-related report, task, or job that could expose the employer or coworkers to legal liability or sanction for violation of health or safety laws.
- (11) Failure to maintain any licenses, registration, or certification that is reasonably required by the employer or by law, or that is a functional requirement to perform the individual's regular job duties, unless the failure is not within the control of the individual.
- (12) Conduct that is libelous or slanderous toward an employer or an employee of the employer if such conduct is not protected under state or federal law.
- (13) Theft of an employer or coworker's funds or property.
- (14) Intentional misrepresentation of time worked or work carried out that results in the individual receiving unearned wages or unearned benefits.

The employer has the burden of proof in establishing disqualifying job misconduct. *Cosper v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 321 N.W.2d 6 (Iowa 1982). The issue is not whether the employer made a correct decision in separating claimant, but whether the claimant is entitled to unemployment insurance benefits. *Infante v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 364 N.W.2d 262 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). Misconduct must be "substantial" to warrant a denial of job insurance benefits. *Newman v. Iowa*

Dep't of Job Serv., 351 N.W.2d 806 (Iowa Ct. App. 1984). "Misconduct serious enough to warrant the discharge of an employee is not necessarily serious enough to warrant a denial of benefits." Lee v. Employment Appeal Bd., 616 N.W.2d 661, 665 (Iowa 2000).

"The use of profanity or offensive language in a confrontational, disrespectful, or name-calling context, may be recognized as misconduct, even in the case of isolated incidents or situations in which the target of abusive name-calling is not present when the vulgar statements are initially made. The question of whether the use of improper language in the workplace is misconduct is nearly always a fact question. It must be considered with other relevant factors, including the context in which it is said, and the general work environment." *Myers v. Emp't Appeal Bd.*, 462 N.W.2d 734 (lowa Ct. App. 1990). Vulgar language in front of customers can constitute misconduct, *Zeches v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 333 N.W.2d 735, 736 (lowa Ct. App. 1983), as well as vulgarities accompanied with a refusal to obey supervisors. *Warrell v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 356 N.W.2d 587, 589 (lowa Ct. App. 1984). Likewise, the repetition of vulgarities can elevate a minor peccadillo to an act of willful misconduct. *Carpenter v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 401 N.W.2d 242, 245-46 (lowa Ct. App. 1986).

Every employer is entitled to expect civility and decency from its employees, and an employee's "use of profanity or offensive language in a confrontational, disrespectful, or name-calling context may be recognized as misconduct." *Henecke v. lowa Dep't of Job Serv.*, 533 N.W.2d 573, 576 (lowa App. 1995) (internal citation omitted). However, the use of profanity or offensive language is not automatically disqualifying for unemployment insurance benefits purposes. The "question of whether the use of improper language in the workplace is misconduct is nearly always a fact question... [and] must be considered with other relevant factors..." *Myers v. Employment Appeal Board*, 462 N.W.2d 734, 738 (lowa App. 1990). A recent Employment Appeal Board decision set forth six aggravating factors to be considered when examining an employee's use of improper language: "(1) cursing in front of customers, vendors, or other third parties; (2) undermining a supervisor's authority; (3) threats of violence; (4) threats of future misbehavior or insubordination; (5) repeated incidents of vulgarity; and (6) discriminatory context." Emp. App. Bd. Hrg. No. 16B-UI-08787, at *3 (Emp. App. Bd. pub. Oct. 21, 2016) (citing cases). The Employment Appeal Board also suggests that the general work environment is a relevant consideration in analyzing profanity. *Id.*

Claimant's admits that she swore at her supervisor once. Claimant tried to minimize her conduct by stating that the customer that was present was 10-14 feet away when she swore at the supervisor. The administrative law judge finds that this distance qualifies as occurring in front of a customer. The claimant has met two of the six aggravating factors. As a result, claimant's behavior is considered disqualifying misconduct, even without prior warning. Benefits are denied.

Because claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to her which she was not entitled. The administrative law judge finds claimant has been overpaid state unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$2,060.00 for eight weeks ending June 3, 2023. Claimant is not require to repay the regular state unemployment benefits because the employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview.

lowa Code section 96.3(7)a-b, as amended in 2008, provides, in pertinent part: :

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the

benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers. If the department determines that an employer's failure to respond timely or adequately was due to insufficient notification from the department, the employer's account shall not be charged for the overpayment.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.
- (2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871<u>subrule 24.32(7)</u>. On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code section 96.3(7)"b" as amended by 2008 Iowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits in the amount of \$2,060.00 as she was not was eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits from March 26, 2023 through June 3, 2023. However, since the employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview, claimant is not required to repay these benefits and the employer's account shall be charged.

DECISION:

The April 17, 2023, reference 01, decision is REVERSED. The claimant was dismissed from work on March 30, 2023 for job related misconduct. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Claimant has been overpaid unemployed insurance benefits in the amount of \$2,060.00 for eight weeks between March 26, 2023, through June 3, 2023. Claimant is not obligated to repay those benefits since the employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview. The employer's account shall be charged.

Carly Smith

Administrative Law Judge

June 8, 2023

Decision Dated and Mailed

scn

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with the decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

2. If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to file a petition for judicial review in District Court within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at Iowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.iowacourts.jov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de Iowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paguen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.