

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CHRIS RYAN
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 10A-UI-14088-S2T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

SUPREME STAFFING INC
Employer

OC: 09/05/10
Claimant: Appellant (2/R)

Section 96.5-1-j – Separation from Temporary Employer

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Chris Ryan (claimant) appealed a representative's October 7, 2010 decision (reference 01) that concluded he was not eligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits due to his separation from work with Supreme Staffing (employer). After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on December 3, 2010. The claimant participated personally. The employer participated by Mike Riehl, Office Manager.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant was separated from employment for any disqualifying reason.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and having considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The employer is a temporary employment service. The claimant performed services on November 24, 2009. He signed a document on November 6, 2009, indicating that he was to contact the employer within three days following the completion of an assignment to request placement in a new assignment. The claimant completed his last assignment on November 24, 2009, and sought reassignment from the employer. No work was available at that time. The employer offered the claimant work on December 1, 2010, but the claimant refused.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was not separated from the employer for any disqualifying reason. .

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

(1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

The claimant did request reassignment and has, therefore, satisfied the requirements of Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j. Benefits are allowed.

The issue of whether the claimant refused suitable work is remanded for determination.

DECISION:

The representative's October 7, 2010 decision (reference 01) is reversed. The claimant was separated from the employer for good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are allowed. The issue of whether the claimant refused suitable work is remanded for determination.

Beth A. Scheetz
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/kjw