IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

CELEIGH MEYER

Claimant

APPEAL NO: 11A-UI-10993-BT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DECISION

AVENTURE STAFFING & PROFESSIONAL

Employer

OC: 07/10/11

Claimant: Appellant (4)

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-a - Voluntary Leaving - Other Employment 871 IAC 24.28(5) - Voluntary Quit Requalifications

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Celeigh Meyer (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated August 15, 2011, reference 02, which held that she was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because she voluntarily quit her employment with Aventure Staffing & Professional (employer) without good cause attributable to the employer. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on September 14, 2011. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Kayla Neuhalfen, Human Resources. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's voluntary separation from employment qualifies her to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: The claimant was hired as a full-time temporary employee and was assigned to TPI lowa from February 22, 2010 through April 22, 2010. She was subsequently assigned to work at Montezuma Manufacturing as of May 27, 2011 and she worked through June 14, 2011. The claimant voluntarily quit to accept full-time work at TPI lowa and she subsequently worked for TPI lowa. She failed to give notice to the employer that she was quitting for other employment.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left her employment to accept employment elsewhere.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- a. The individual left employment in good faith for the sole purpose of accepting other or better employment, which the individual did accept, and the individual performed services in the new employment. Benefits relating to wage credits earned with the employer that the individual has left shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

871 IAC 24.28(5) provides:

Voluntary quit requalifications and previously adjudicated voluntary quit issues.

(5) The claimant shall be eligible for benefits even though the claimant voluntarily quit if the claimant left for the sole purpose of accepting an offer of other or better employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment.

871 IAC 23.43(5) provides:

(5) Sole purpose. The claimant shall be eligible for benefits even though the claimant voluntarily quit if the claimant left for the sole purpose of accepting an offer of other or better employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment. No charge shall accrue to the account of the former voluntarily guit employer.

An individual who leaves employment voluntarily is disqualified from receiving job insurance benefits unless the quit was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.5(1). An exception is if the individual left in good faith for the sole purpose of accepting other employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment. Accordingly, benefits are allowed and the employer's account shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The unemployment insurance decision dated August 15, 2011, reference 02, is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant voluntarily left her employment in order to accept other employment. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible. The employer's account shall not be charged.

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/pjs