IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

HEAVEN X TUTSON

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 11A-UI-11461-LT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

CAMBRIDGE TEMPOSITIONS INC

Employer

OC: 07/17/11

Claimant: Respondent (2-R)

Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Leaving

Iowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the August 18, 2011 (reference 01) decision that allowed benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on September 26, 2011. Claimant responded to the hearing notice instructions but was not available when the hearing was called, did not respond to the voice mail message by the time the hearing record was closed, and did not participate. Employer participated through Account Manager Will Ortega.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether claimant voluntarily left the employment with good cause attributable to the employer and whether she is overpaid benefits as a result.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The employer filed its appeal in a timely manner on Monday, August 29, 2011, since the appeal deadline was Sunday, August 28, 2011. Claimant was employed temporary full-time assigned as a phone operator and data entry at Carl Zeiss Vision Lab from March 7, 2011 and separated from employment on June 17, 2011. On that date, she told Ortega that she anticipated leaving the assignment on June 24 due to her pregnancy, but she never returned to the assignment. Continued work was available. He told her to contact the employer again when she was able to work. She anticipated her due date as the first week of August 2011 and has not communicated with the employer again.

Claimant has received unemployment benefits since filing a claim with an effective date of July 17, 2011.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Code § 96.5-1-d provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- d. The individual left employment because of illness, injury or pregnancy upon the advice of a licensed and practicing physician, and upon knowledge of the necessity for absence immediately notified the employer, or the employer consented to the absence, and after recovering from the illness, injury or pregnancy, when recovery was certified by a licensed and practicing physician, the individual returned to the employer and offered to perform services and the individual's regular work or comparable suitable work was not available, if so found by the department, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2).

Since claimant quit her job because of her pregnancy and has not contacted the employer for further assignment, the separation was without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

Iowa Code § 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits

were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

Because claimant's separation was disqualifying, benefits were paid to which claimant was not entitled. The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment may not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. If so, the employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code § 96.3(7). In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits.

DECISION:

The August 18, 2011 (reference 01) decision is reversed. Claimant voluntarily left the employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

REMAND:

dml/kjw

The matter of determining the amount of the potential overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under lowa Code § 96.3(7)b is remanded to the Agency.

Dévon M. Lewis Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	