IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

MELVIN M BURTLOW III 1074 CEDAR AVE PACKWOOD IA 52580

EXCEL CORPORATION

c/o TALX UC EXPRESS

PO BOX 283

ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

Appeal Number: 05A-UI-01304-LT

OC: 01-02-05 R: 03 Claimant: Appellant (2)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.*

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)	
(Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Iowa Code §96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct 871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Claimant filed a timely appeal from the January 28, 2005, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on March 3, 2005. Claimant did participate. Employer did participate through Adriana Cobs.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed as a full-time combo maker through January 6, 2005 when he was discharged. The last absence was January 5 because of the road being drifted in by claimant's house. School was also called off in the area. Claimant's other absences were all related to properly reported illness or injury. On the occasions he left work early, he did so because of illness and

with permission so as to avoid product contamination. Employer's witness did not know the reasons for the absences that led to his separation.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason.

Iowa Code section 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:
- a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. Higgins v. lowa Department of Job Service, 350 N.W.2d 187 (lowa 1984).

The reported absences related to illness and injury are all excused for the purpose of the lowa Employment Security Act. The employer's no-fault absenteeism policy is not dispositive of the issue of qualification for benefits. A failure to report to work because of transportation issues is generally considered an unexcused absence, however, in this instance, the local governing authority had not yet plowed the roads, drifts were three feet high near claimant's home and school was called off. This was a sufficient reason to excuse the absence, as an employee is not expected to risk life and limb to retain his job. Even had this been an unexcused absence related to transportation, one unexcused absence without a prior history of other unexcused absences is not disqualifying, as it does not meet the excessiveness standard. Benefits are allowed.

DECISION:

The January 28, 2005, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant was discharged from employment for no disqualifying reason. Benefits are allowed, provided the claimant is otherwise eligible.

dml/sc