# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**TROY WILSON** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO. 09A-UI-03033-ET** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**CAMBRIDGE TEMPOSITIONS INC** 

**Employer** 

Original Claim: 11-02-08
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Section 96.5(3)a – Work Refusal Section 96.4-3 – Able and Available

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the February 16, 2009, reference 11, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on April 10, 2009. The claimant participated in the hearing. Darlene Hughes, Account Manager, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

## ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant refused a suitable offer of work.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

The employer made an offer of work to the claimant January 13, 2009. That offer included the following terms: A packaging position at Heinz working 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. earning \$9.00 per hour. The claimant's average weekly wage is \$209.82. The offer was made in the fifth week of unemployment, as his original claim date was November 2, 2008, and the claimant accepted another assignment November 17, 2008, and worked until December 16, 2008, resuming his weekly claim for benefits January 17, 2009, to equal his fifth week of unemployment. The claimant told the employer January 13, 2009, that he would call back about the offer, but he never did so. He testified he did not have transportation but if he had enough notice, he could get a ride.

### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant did refuse a suitable offer of work.

Iowa Code section 96.5-3-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 3. Failure to accept work. If the department finds that an individual has failed, without good cause, either to apply for available, suitable work when directed by the department or to accept suitable work when offered that individual. The department shall, if possible, furnish the individual with the names of employers which are seeking employees. The individual shall apply to and obtain the signatures of the employers designated by the department on forms provided by the department. However, the employers may refuse to sign the forms. The individual's failure to obtain the signatures of designated employers, which have not refused to sign the forms, shall disqualify the individual for benefits until requalified. To requalify for benefits after disqualification under this subsection, the individual shall work in and be paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.
- a. In determining whether or not any work is suitable for an individual, the department shall consider the degree of risk involved to the individual's health, safety, and morals, the individual's physical fitness, prior training, length of unemployment, and prospects for securing local work in the individual's customary occupation, the distance of the available work from the individual's residence, and any other factor which the department finds bears a reasonable relation to the purposes of this paragraph. Work is suitable if the work meets all the other criteria of this paragraph and if the gross weekly wages for the work equal or exceed the following percentages of the individual's average weekly wage for insured work paid to the individual during that quarter of the individual's base period in which the individual's wages were highest:
- (1) One hundred percent, if the work is offered during the first five weeks of unemployment.
- (2) Seventy-five percent, if the work is offered during the sixth through the twelfth week of unemployment.
- (3) Seventy percent, if the work is offered during the thirteenth through the eighteenth week of unemployment.
- (4) Sixty-five percent, if the work is offered after the eighteenth week of unemployment.

However, the provisions of this paragraph shall not require an individual to accept employment below the federal minimum wage.

## 871 IAC 24.24(8) provides:

(8) Refusal disqualification jurisdiction. Both the offer of work or the order to apply for work and the claimant's accompanying refusal must occur within the individual's benefit year, as defined in subrule 24.1(21), before the lowa code subsection 96.5(3) disqualification can be imposed. It is not necessary that the offer, the order, or the refusal occur in a week in which the claimant filed a weekly claim for benefits before the disqualification can be imposed.

Appeal No. 09A-UI-03033-ET

Iowa Code section 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

The offer was suitable, as it met the criteria of Iowa Code section 96-5-3-a, and the claimant did not have a good-cause reason for the refusal. Additionally, the claimant does not have transportation and does not appear to be able and available for work. Consequently, benefits are denied.

### **DECISION:**

The February 16, 2009, reference 11, decision is affirmed. The claimant did refuse a suitable offer of work. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant works in and has been paid wages equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Julie Elder	
Administrative Law Judge	
Decision Dated and Mailed	
je/kjw	