IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ERIC WILSON Claimant

APPEAL NO: 13A-UI-02725-BT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

L A LEASING SEDONA STAFFING Employer

> OC: 01/06/13 Claimant: Appellant (4)

Iowa Code § 96.6-2 - Timeliness of Appeal Iowa Code § 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Eric Wilson (claimant) appealed an unemployment insurance decision dated February 7, 2013, reference 02, which held that he was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits because he voluntarily quit his employment with Sedona Staffing (employer) without good cause attributable to the employer. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on April 3, 2013. The claimant participated in the hearing. The employer participated through Colleen McGuinty, Unemployment Benefits Administrator and Joe Vermeulen, Branch Manager. Exhibit D-1 was admitted into evidence. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the claimant's appeal is timely, and if so, whether his voluntary separation from employment qualifies him to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony and considered all of the evidence in the record, finds that: A disqualification decision was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record on February 7, 2013. The decision contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Section by February 17, 2013. The claimant did not receive the decision. He filed an appeal on March 7, 2013 after he learned of the disqualification in an unemployment appeal hearing regarding a different employer.

The claimant was hired as a part-time temporary laborer in October 2009 and has worked intermittently since that time. He finished an assignment on December 16, 2012 and did not check back in for additional work because he was working.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code Section 96.6-2 provides in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

The claimant did not have an opportunity to appeal the fact-finder's decision because the decision was not received. Without notice of a disqualification, no meaningful opportunity for appeal exists. See *Smith v. Iowa Employment Security Commission*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The claimant filed an appeal immediately upon receiving information he had been disqualified. Therefore, the appeal shall be accepted as timely.

The substantive issue to be determined in this case is whether the reasons for the claimant's separation from employment qualify him to receive unemployment insurance benefits. For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left his employment to accept employment elsewhere.

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department. But the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:

a. The individual left employment in good faith for the sole purpose of accepting other or better employment, which the individual did accept, and the individual performed services in the new employment. Benefits relating to wage credits earned with the employer that the individual has left shall be charged to the unemployment compensation fund. This paragraph applies to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

871 IAC 24.28(5) provides:

Voluntary quit requalifications and previously adjudicated voluntary quit issues.

(5) The claimant shall be eligible for benefits even though the claimant voluntarily quit if the claimant left for the sole purpose of accepting an offer of other or better employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment.

871 IAC 23.43(5) provides:

(5) Sole purpose. The claimant shall be eligible for benefits even though the claimant voluntarily quit if the claimant left for the sole purpose of accepting an offer of other or better employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment. No charge shall accrue to the account of the former voluntarily quit employer.

An individual who leaves employment voluntarily is disqualified from receiving job insurance benefits unless the quit was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.5(1). An exception is if the individual left in good faith for the sole purpose of accepting other employment, which the claimant did accept, and from which the claimant is separated, before or after having started the new employment. The claimant did leave in order to accept other employment and did perform services for the subsequent employer. Accordingly, benefits are allowed and the employer's account shall not be charged.

DECISION:

The claimant's appeal is timely. The unemployment insurance decision dated February 7, 2013, reference 02, is modified in favor of the appellant. The claimant voluntarily left his employment in order to accept other employment. Benefits are allowed, provided he is otherwise eligible. The employer's account shall not be charged.

Susan D. Ackerman Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

sda/tll