IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

VERALYNN T SCHNUR 11340 WARM WIND WAY WEEKI WACHEE FL 34613

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Appeal Number: 04A-UI-01362-CT

OC: 01/25/04 R: 12 Claimant: Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the *Employment Appeal Board*, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, lowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

### STATE CLEARLY

- The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)	
(Decision Dated & Mailed)	

Section 96.4(3) – Work Search Requirements

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Veralynn Schnur filed an appeal from a representative's decision dated February 3, 2004, reference 01, which warned that she was to make at least two job contacts each week. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone on March 1, 2004. Ms. Schnur participated personally.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having heard the testimony of the witness and having reviewed all the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Ms. Schnur filed a claim for job insurance benefits effective January 25, 2004 and was provided information as to the work search requirements of the law. During the week ending January 31, she made fewer than two in-person job contacts. Ms. Schnur was under the mistaken impression that she was to report job interviews rather than contacts seeking employment. Because she had only one interview during the week at issue, she only reported one job contact.

# REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

At issue in this matter is whether the warning issued to Ms. Schnur should be rescinded. As a condition of receiving job insurance benefits, an individual must make an active and earnest search for work. See Iowa Code Section 96.4(3). This has been interpreted to mean at least two job contacts each week. Ms. Schnur was provided the necessary information as to the work search requirements. She did, in fact, fail to contact at least two employers during the week ending January 31, 2004. Therefore, the warning shall stand.

The administrative law judge notes that the decision of February 3, 2004 was only a warning and does not result in a disqualification from benefits. Should Ms. Schnur fail to make the required work search at some future point, she is subject to disqualification at that time.

# **DECISION:**

The representative's decision dated February 3, 2004, reference 01, is hereby affirmed. Ms. Schnur is warned that she must make at least two job contacts each week in order to remain eligible for benefits.

cfc/kjf