IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT Unemployment Insurance Appeals Section 1000 East Grand—Des Moines, Iowa 50319 DECISION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 68-0157 (7-97) – 3091078 - EI

TAWNY L STOFFREGEN 6520 LORTON CT DAVENPORT IA 52807

CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC ^c/_o TALX UCM SERVICES INC PO BOX 283 ST LOUIS MO 63166-0283

Appeal Number:05A-UI-08084-DWTOC:07/03/05R:Otaimant:Appellant (1)

This Decision Shall Become Final, unless within fifteen (15) days from the date below, you or any interested party appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by submitting either a signed letter or a signed written Notice of Appeal, directly to the Employment Appeal Board, 4th Floor—Lucas Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

STATE CLEARLY

- 1. The name, address and social security number of the claimant.
- 2. A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3. That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4. The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in this appeal or you may obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds. It is important that you file your claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

(Administrative Law Judge)

(Decision Dated & Mailed)

Section 96.5-1 - Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Tawny L. Stoffregen (claimant) appealed a representative's July 27, 2005 decision (reference 01) that concluded she was not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits, and the account of Citigroup Global Markets, Inc. (employer) would not be charged because the claimant voluntarily quit her employment for reasons that do not qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. After hearing notices were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record, a telephone hearing was held on August 23, 2005. The claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice by contacting the Appeals Section prior to the hearing and providing the phone number at which she could be contacted to participate in the hearing. As a result, no one represented the claimant. Mark Barickman, the branch manager, appeared on the employer's behalf. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the parties, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision.

ISSUE:

Did the claimant voluntarily quit her employment for reasons that qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits, or did the employer discharge her for work-connected misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The claimant worked for the employer about six years. Since January 2005, the claimant began working as a financial consultant for the employer. When the claimant submitted her resignation in June, she indicated she was resigning because her new job was not working out for her. The claimant's last day of work was June 11, 2005.

The employer did not ask the claimant to resign and the claimant could have continued to work as a financial consultant for the employer if she had not resigned.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

A claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits if she voluntarily quits employment or an employer discharges her for reasons constituting work-connected misconduct. Iowa Code §§96.5-1, 2-a. The evidence shows the claimant voluntarily quit her employment when she submitted her resignation. When a claimant quits, she has the burden to establish she quit with good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code §96.6-2.

The law presumes a claimant voluntarily quits employment without good cause when she quits because she does feel her job performance is satisfactory, but the employer has not requested the claimant to leave and there is continuing work for the claimant. 871 IAC 24.25(33). The facts indicate the claimant quit her employment because she did not like working as a financial consultant or did not feel her job performance was satisfactory. The claimant may have had compelling personal reasons for quitting. Her reasons do not, however, establish that she quit for reasons that qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. As of July 3, 2005, the claimant is not qualified to receive unemployment insurance benefits.

DECISION:

The representative's July 27, 2005 decision (reference 01) is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily quit her employment for reasons that do not qualify her to receive unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits as of July 3, 2005. This disqualification continues until she has been paid ten times her weekly benefit amount for insured work, provided she is otherwise eligible. The employer's account will not be charged.

dlw/pjs