BEFORE THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD

Lucas State Office Building Fourth floor Des Moines, Iowa 50319

•	
•	

DOUGLAS B BULTEN

HEARING NUMBER: 15B-UI-11417

Claimant,

.

and

EMPLOYMENT APPEAL BOARD DECISION

MANPOWER INC OF DM

Employer.

NOTICE

THIS DECISION BECOMES FINAL unless (1) a request for a REHEARING is filed with the Employment Appeal Board within 20 days of the date of the Board's decision or, (2) a PETITION TO DISTRICT COURT IS FILED WITHIN 30 days of the date of the Board's decision.

A REHEARING REQUEST shall state the specific grounds and relief sought. If the rehearing request is denied, a petition may be filed in **DISTRICT COURT** within **30 days** of the date of the denial.

SECTION: 96.5-1-J

DECISION

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS ARE ALLOWED IF OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE

The Employer appealed this case to the Employment Appeal Board. The members of the Employment Appeal Board, one member dissenting, reviewed the entire record. The Appeal Board finds the administrative law judge's decision is correct. The administrative law judge's Findings of Fact and Reasoning and Conclusions of Law are adopted by the Board as its own. The administrative law judge's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Ashley R. Koopmans			
	Ashley R. Koopmans		

DISSENTING OPINION OF KIM D. SCHMETT:

I respectfully dissent from the majority decision of the Employment Appeal Board; I would reverse the
administrative law judge's decision. I would conclude that the Claimant voluntarily quit his employment by
failing to report for reassignment within three days. The Claimant testified that his supervisor told him he
would contact him when he had additional work. However, that supervisor worked for the Employer's
client, not Manpower, Inc. I would deny benefits until such time the Claimant has worked in and was paid
wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.
See, Iowa Code section 96.5(1)"g".

Kim D. Schmett

A portion of the Employer's appeal to the Employment Appeal Board consisted of additional evidence which was not contained in the administrative file and which was not submitted to the administrative law judge. While the appeal and additional evidence were reviewed, the Employment Appeal Board, in its discretion, finds that the admission of the additional evidence is not warranted in reaching today's decision.

Kim D. Schmett	
Ashley R. Koopmans	
James M. Strohman	

AMG/fnv