IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

TORREN J MILLBROOKS

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-07937-S2-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

DEERY BROTHERS CHEVROLET INC

Employer

OC: 01/17/21

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge for Misconduct Iowa Code § 96.5(1) – Voluntary Quit

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed an appeal from the March 10, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that denied benefits based upon his voluntary quit. The parties were properly notified about the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on May 27, 2021. Claimant Torren J. Millbrooks participated and testified. Employer Deery Brothers Chevrolet, Inc. did not register for the hearing and did not participate.

ISSUE:

Did claimant voluntarily quit the employment with good cause attributable to employer?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Claimant was employed full-time as a sales manager from February 5, 2019, until November 24, 2020, when he quit.

On November 24, 2020, claimant's supervisor made a sexual comment about claimant's grandmother. The supervisor intended the comment as a joke, but claimant was offended that he disrespected his grandmother. Claimant told his supervisor that he could no longer work for someone who would say something like that and walked out on the job. Claimant did not bring his concerns regarding his supervisor's conduct prior to leaving his employment.

Claimant's job was not in jeopardy and there was continuing work available.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes claimant's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

Iowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25 provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.26(4) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions.

As such, if claimant establishes that she left due to intolerable or detrimental working conditions, benefits would be allowed. Generally notice of an intent to guit is required by Cobb v. Employment Appeal Board, 506 N.W.2d 445, 447-78 (Iowa 1993), Suluki v. Employment Appeal Bd., 503 N.W.2d 402, 405 (Iowa 1993), and Swanson v. Employment Appeal Bd., 554 N.W.2d 294, 296 (lowa Ct. App. 1996). These cases require an employee to give an employer notice of intent to quit, thus giving the employer an opportunity to cure working conditions. Accordingly, in 1995, the Iowa Administrative Code was amended to include an intent-to-quit requirement. The requirement was only added, however, to rule 871-24.26(6)(b), the provision addressing work-related health problems. No intent-to-quit requirement was added to rule 871-24.26(4), the intolerable working conditions provision. Our supreme court concluded that, because the intent-to-quit requirement was added to 871-24.26(6)(b) but not 871-24.26(4), notice of intent to quit is not required for intolerable working conditions. Hy-Vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Bd., 710 N.W.2d 1 (lowa 2005). "Good cause attributable to the employer" does not require fault, negligence, wrongdoing or bad faith by the employer. Dehmel v. Employment Appeal Bd., 433 N.W.2d 700, 702 (Iowa 1988)("[G]ood cause attributable to the employer can exist even though the employer is free from all negligence or wrongdoing in connection therewith"); Shontz v. lowa Employment Sec. Commission, 248 N.W.2d 88, 91 (lowa 1976)(benefits payable even though employer "free from fault"); Raffety v. Iowa Employment Security Commission, 76 N.W.2d 787, 788 (lowa 1956)("The good cause attributable to the employer need not be based upon a fault or wrong of such employer."). Good cause may be attributable to "the employment itself" rather than the employer personally and still satisfy the requirements of the Act. Raffety, 76 N.W.2d at 788 (lowa 1956). Therefore, claimant was not required to give the employer any notice with regard to the intolerable or detrimental working conditions prior to her guitting. However, claimant must prove that his working conditions were intolerable or detrimental.

Claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. Iowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which

is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (Iowa 1980).

Here, claimant has failed to establish his leaving was for good cause. Claimant was offended by his supervisor's comment. While the administrative law judge understands claimant's frustration with the situation, the evidence does not support that his working conditions were intolerable. Claimant became upset with his supervisor over a single comment, but rather than bring his concerns to upper management or human resources, he walked out during his shift. Claimant did not give employer a reasonable opportunity to remedy the situation. While claimant's leaving may have been based upon good personal reasons, it was not for a good-cause reason attributable to the employer according to lowa law. Benefits are denied.

DECISION:

The March 10, 2021, (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision is affirmed. The claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Stephanie Adkisson

Stephane alkerson

Administrative Law Judge Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau

1000 East Grand Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209

Fax (515)478-3528

June 9, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

sa/scn

Note to Claimant: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits due to disqualifying separations, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information.