IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

DONALD C HARDING Claimant

APPEAL NO. 14A-UI-05007-S2T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

QPS EMPLOYMENT GROUP INC

Employer

OC: 04/06/14 Claimant: Appellant (6)

Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) - Default Decision 871 IAC 26.14(7) - Dismissal of Appeal on Default 871 IAC 26.14(7)b - Late Call Iowa Code § 17A.12-3 - Non-Appearance of Party

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

An appeal was filed from a representative's unemployment insurance decision dated May 14, 2014, (reference 01), that concluded Donald Harding (claimant/appellant) was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits after a separation from employment from QPS Employment Group (employer/respondent). Notices of hearing were mailed to the parties' last-known addresses of record for a telephone hearing to be held at 10:00 a.m. on June 3, 2014. A review of the Appeals Bureau's conference call system indicates that the claimant/appellant failed to respond to the hearing notice to provide a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing so no hearing was held.

ISSUE:

The issue is whether the underlying decision should be affirmed and the appeal should be effectively dismissed based upon the claimant/appellant's failure to participate in the hearing.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The parties were properly notified of the scheduled hearing on this appeal. The claimant/appellant received the hearing notice prior to the hearing scheduled on June 3, 2014. The instructions inform the parties that if the party does not contact the Appeals Section and provide the phone number at which the party can be contacted for the hearing, the party will not be called for the hearing. The claimant/appellant failed to contact the Appeals Bureau to provide a telephone number at which he could be reached for the hearing so no hearing was held. The first time the claimant/appellant directly contacted the Appeals Bureau was on June 3, 2014, twenty-six minutes after the scheduled start time for the hearing. Donald Harding (claimant/appellant) said he called the Appeals Bureau but did not have a confirmation number to prove that. There is no record on the call logs that the claimant/appellant called the Appeals Bureau within five minutes of the time of the hearing if they are not contacted by the administrative law judge.

The representative's decision concluded that the claimant/appellant was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The lowa Administrative Procedure Act at lowa Code § 17A.12(3) provides that if a party fails to appear or participate in a hearing after proper service of notice, the judge may enter a default decision or proceed with the hearing and make a decision in the absence of the party. The statute further states that if a party makes a timely request to vacate the decision and shows good cause for failing to appear, the judge shall vacate the decision and conduct another hearing.

Agency rule 871 IAC 26.14(7) provides that if the appealing party has not responded to a notice of telephone hearing by providing the Appeals Bureau with the names and telephone numbers of the persons who are participating in the hearing by the scheduled starting time of the hearing or is not available at the telephone number provided, the judge may decide the appealing party is in default and dismiss the appeal as provided in Iowa Code § 17A.12(3).

The record may be reopened if the absent party makes a request to reopen the hearing and shows good cause for reopening the hearing. The rule further states that failure to read or follow the instructions on the notice of hearing is not good cause for reopening the record. 871 IAC 26.14(7)c. In the case herein, the claimant/appellant has failed to establish the necessary good cause required to reopen the hearing record. Consequently, the claimant/appellant has therefore defaulted on his appeal pursuant to Iowa Code § 17A.12(3) and 871 IAC 26.14(7), and the representative's decision remains in force and effect.

DECISION:

The representative's unemployment insurance decision dated May 14, 2014, (reference 01), is affirmed. The decision denying benefits remains in effect.

Beth A. Scheetz Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

bas/pjs