# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

**GERALD BERSCH** 

Claimant

**APPEAL 20A-UI-13812-CL-T** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

OC: 05/31/20

Claimant: Appellant (1R)

Iowa Code § 96.3(4) – Determination of Benefits Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.9(1)b – Monetary Determination – Timeliness Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant appealed from the June 9, 2020, monetary record. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call on December 29, 2020. The claimant participated. Department's Exhibit D-1 was admitted to the record.

#### ISSUE:

Is the claimant's appeal from the monetary determination timely?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: A monetary record was mailed to the claimant's last known address of record on June 9, 2020. Claimant received the record about two days later. The record contained a warning that an appeal must be postmarked or received by the Appeals Bureau within ten days of the date of mailing. The appeal was not filed until June 25, 2020, which is after the expiration of the tenday appeal period.

Claimant's only disagreement with the monetary record is that it lists his separation from employment as NOT being a business closure. According to claimant, the location where he worked, Lawther Academy, closed in June 2020. The Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development has not issued a decision on whether claimant is eligible for extended benefits based on that closure, although claimant did raise the issue with the agency in his email on June 25, 2020.

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides, in pertinent part:

The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. . . . Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was issued, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision.

## Iowa Code section 96.3(4) provides:

4. Determination of benefits. With respect to benefit years beginning on or after July 1, 1983, an eligible individual's weekly benefit amount for a week of total unemployment shall be an amount equal to the following fractions of the individual's total wages in insured work paid during that quarter of the individual's base period in which such total wages were highest. The director shall determine annually a maximum weekly benefit amount equal to the following percentages, to vary with the number of dependents, of the statewide average weekly wage paid to employees in insured work which shall be effective the first day of the first full week in July:

If the number of dependents is:	The weekly benefit amount shall equal the following fraction of high quarter wages:	Subject to the following maximum percentage of the statewide average weekly wage.
0	1/23	53%
1	1/22	55%
2	1/21	57%
3	1/20	60%
4 or more	1/19	65%

The maximum weekly benefit amount, if not a multiple of one dollar shall be rounded to the lower multiple of one dollar. However, until such time as sixty-five percent of the statewide average weekly wage exceeds one hundred ninety dollars, the maximum weekly benefit amounts shall be determined using the statewide average weekly wage computed on the basis of wages reported for calendar year 1981. As used in this section, "dependent" means dependent as defined in section 422.12, subsection 1, paragraph "a", as if the individual claimant was a taxpayer, except that an individual claimant's nonworking spouse shall be deemed to be a dependent under this section. "Nonworking spouse" means a spouse who does not earn more than one hundred twenty dollars in gross wages in one week.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976). This would apply to the date of the monetary record as well.

Pursuant to rules Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.2(96)(1) and Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(96)(1), appeals are considered filed when postmarked, if mailed. *Messina v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv.*. 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983).

The record in this case shows that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date and the date this appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from determinations within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also In re Appeal of Elliott, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). The question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. Hendren v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); Smith v. Iowa Emp't Sec. Comm'n, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973). The record shows that the appellant did have a reasonable opportunity to file a timely appeal.

The administrative law judge concludes that failure to file a timely appeal within the time prescribed by the Iowa Employment Security Law was not due to any Agency error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States Postal Service pursuant to Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2). The administrative law judge further concludes that the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to Iowa Code section 96.6(2), and the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to make a determination with respect to the nature of the appeal. See Beardslee v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 276 N.W.2d 373 (Iowa 1979) and Franklin v. Iowa Dep't of Job Serv., 277 N.W.2d 877 (Iowa 1979).

While claimant's appeal is untimely, a claimant can report that his or her former employer's business has closed at any time during the claim year. Claimant's only dispute with the monetary record is that the location where he was working closed and that he should be eligible for extended benefits on that basis. Claimant raised this issue back in June 2020 and was told it was being investigated. No decision has been issued and claimant is close to exhausting his Pandemic Emergency Unemployment Compensation benefits. The issue of whether claimant is entitled to extended benefits based on a business closure is remanded to the Benefits Bureau of lowa Workforce Development for a decision to be issued as soon as possible.

## **DECISION:**

The June 9, 2020, monetary determination is affirmed. The appeal in this case was not timely and the monetary determination remains in full force and effect.

## **REMAND:**

The issue of whether claimant is eligible for extended benefits based on his work location closing is remanded to the Benefits Bureau of Iowa Workforce Development for an initial decision to be issued as soon as possible.

Christine A. Louis

Administrative Law Judge
Unemployment Insurance Appeals Bureau
1000 East Grand Avenue
Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0209
Fax (515)478-3528

January 15, 2021\_

Decision Dated and Mailed

cal/scn