# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

**BRITTANY BOWEN** 

Claimant

APPEAL NO. 20A-UI-04407-JTT

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

**RANDSTAD US LLC** 

Employer

OC: 03/29/20

Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code Section 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal Iowa Code Section 95.5(1) – Voluntary Quit

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Brittany Bowen filed a late appeal from the May 1, 2020, reference 01, decision that disqualified her for benefits and that relieved the employer's account of liability for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that Ms. Bowen voluntarily quit on April 3, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on June 8, 2020. Ms. Bowen participated. The employer did not provided a telephone number for the hearing and did not participate. Exhibits A and B were received into evidence. The administrative law judge took official notice of the May 1, 2020, reference 01, decision.

### ISSUE:

Whether the appeal was timely. Whether there is good cause to treat the appeal as timely.

# FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: On May 1, 2020, Iowa Workforce Development mailed the May 1, 2020, reference 01, decision to claimant Brittany Bowen at her last known address of record in Des Moines. The decision disqualified Ms. Bowen for benefits and relieved the employer's account of liability for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that Ms. Bowen voluntarily quit on April 3, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer. The decision stated that an appeal from the decision must be postmarked by May 11, 2020 or be received by the Appeal Section by that date. The reverse side of the decision included clear and concise instructions for filing an appeal. Ms. Bowen collected the decision from her mailbox on May 8, 2020. Ms. Bowen is not certain how long the decision had been in her mailbox before she collected it from the mailbox, but had been checking her mail on alternating days and first observed the decision on May 8, 2020. Ms. Bowen glanced at the decision, but did not read the decision. Ms. Bowen did not note the appeal deadline information on the decision. Ms. Bowen did not take steps to file an appeal by the May 11, 2020 appeal deadline. On May 19, 2020, Ms. Bowen received an unrelated decision. On December 21, 2019, Ms. Bowen called Iowa Workforce Development customer service and spoke with an Agency representative. On May 21, 2020, Ms. Bowen completed

and transmitted an online appeal via the Iowa Workforce Development website. The Appeals Bureau received the appeal on May 21, 2020.

#### REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.6(2) provides:

A representative designated by the director shall Initial determination. promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of section 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to section 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsections 10 and 11, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary guit pursuant to section 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten-day deadline for appeal begins to run on the date Workforce Development mails the decision to the parties. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the Agency representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Board of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (lowa 1976).

An appeal submitted by mail is deemed filed on the date it is mailed as shown by the postmark or in the absence of a postmark the postage meter mark of the envelope in which it was received, or if not postmarked or postage meter marked or if the mark is illegible, on the date entered on the document as the date of completion. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(1)(a). See also *Messina v. IDJS*, 341 N.W.2d 52 (Iowa 1983). An appeal submitted by any other means is deemed filed on the date it is received by the Unemployment Insurance Division of Iowa Workforce Development. See Iowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(1)(b).

The evidence in the record establishes that more than ten calendar days elapsed between the mailing date of the decision and the date the appeal was filed. The lowa Supreme Court has declared that there is a mandatory duty to file appeals from representatives' decisions within the time allotted by statute, and that the administrative law judge has no authority to change the decision of a representative if a timely appeal is not filed. *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877, 881 (Iowa 1979). Compliance with appeal notice provisions is jurisdictional unless the facts of a case show that the notice was invalid. *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373, 377 (Iowa 1979); see also *In re Appeal of Elliott*, 319 N.W.2d 244, 247 (Iowa 1982). One question in this case thus becomes whether the appellant was deprived of a reasonable opportunity to assert an appeal in a timely fashion. *Hendren v. IESC*, 217 N.W.2d 255 (Iowa 1974); *Smith v. IESC*, 212 N.W.2d 471, 472 (Iowa 1973).

The evidence establishes an untimely appeal. Ms. Bowen had a reasonable opportunity to file an appeal by the May 11, 2020 deadline. Ms. Bowen elected not to read the decision when she collected it from her mailbox and, therefore, did note the appeal deadline. Ms. Bowen elected to delay action on the matter until May 21, 2020 and then filed an appeal 10 days after the appeal deadline. The late filing of the appeal was attributable to Ms. Bowen and was not attributable to lowa Workforce Development or to the United States Postal Service. Accordingly, there is not good cause to treat the late appeal as a timely appeal. See lowa Administrative Code rule 871-24.35(2). Because the appeal was not timely filed pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6(2), the administrative law judge lacks jurisdiction to disturb the May 1, 2020, reference 01, decision. See *Beardslee v. IDJS*, 276 N.W.2d 373 (lowa 1979) and *Franklin v. IDJS*, 277 N.W.2d 877 (lowa 1979).

# **DECISION:**

The claimant's appeal was untimely. The May 1, 2020, reference 01, decision that disqualified the claimant for benefits and that relieved the employer's account of liability for benefits, based on the deputy's conclusion that the claimant voluntarily quit on April 3, 2020 without good cause attributable to the employer, remains in effect.

Note to Claimant: This decision determines you are not eligible for regular unemployment insurance benefits. If you disagree with this decision you may file an appeal to the Employment Appeal Board by following the instructions on the first page of this decision. Individuals who do not qualify for regular unemployment insurance benefits due to disqualifying separations, but who are currently unemployed for reasons related to COVID-19 may qualify for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA). You will need to apply for PUA to determine your eligibility under the program. Additional information on how to apply for PUA can be found at <a href="https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information">https://www.iowaworkforcedevelopment.gov/pua-information</a>.

James E. Timberland Administrative Law Judge

James & Timberland

June 26, 2020

Decision Dated and Mailed

jet/scn