# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

**WILLIBALDO YEPEZ** 

Claimant

**APPEAL NO: 10A-UI-14828-ET** 

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**DECISION** 

**TEMP ASSOCIATES - MARSHALLTOWN** 

Employer

OC: 06-14-09

Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Section 96.5(1)j – Voluntary Leaving (Temporary Assignment) 871 IAC 24.23(21) – Able and Available – Specific Employer Section 96.3-7 – Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed a timely appeal from the October 19, 2010, reference 01, decision that allowed benefits to the claimant. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held by telephone conference call before Administrative Law Judge Julie Elder on December 13, 2010. The claimant participated in the hearing. Judy Rebik, Manager and Joyce Hutcheson, Customer Service Representative, participated in the hearing on behalf of the employer.

## **ISSUES:**

The issues are whether the claimant voluntarily left his employment, whether the claimant sought reassignment from the employer, whether the claimant is able and available for work and whether he is overpaid benefits.

### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was employed as a full-time general laborer for Temp Associates last assigned to Cretex Concrete Products from July 8, 2010 to September 10, 2010. The day the assignment ended, the claimant went into the employer's office and notified Customer Service Representative Joyce Hutcheson the assignment was completed. Ms. Hutcheson asked if he was willing to accept another assignment and the claimant stated he did not want another assignment but wanted to collect unemployment benefits and wait until Cretex had further work available for him in the spring of 2011. Ms. Hutcheson asked him if he was sure more than once but the claimant did not change his mind. On September 17, 2010, he went in to the employer's office to pick up his last check and spoke to Manager Judy Rebik. He also told Ms. Rebik he did not want another assignment until Cretex recalled him. Ms. Rebik told him he needed to check in weekly and also asked him if he was sure he did not want any other work and he restated he did not want to work anywhere except for Cretex. The claimant signed the employer's availability form at the time of hire and said he was willing to work for \$7.25 per hour but testified he only wanted a job that "fit his budget." He also signed the separate form indicating if he did not check in for work each week he would be considered to have voluntarily quit his job.

Appeal No. 10A-UI-14828-ET

#### **REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:**

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant voluntarily left his employment without good cause attributable to the employer and is not able and available for work.

Iowa Code section 96.4-3 provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", unnumbered paragraph 1, or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3 are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

- 1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department, but the individual shall not be disqualified if the department finds that:
- j. The individual is a temporary employee of a temporary employment firm who notifies the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment and who seeks reassignment. Failure of the individual to notify the temporary employment firm of completion of an employment assignment within three working days of the completion of each employment assignment under a contract of hire shall be deemed a voluntary quit unless the individual was not advised in writing of the duty to notify the temporary employment firm upon completion of an employment assignment or the individual had good cause for not contacting the temporary employment firm within three working days and notified the firm at the first reasonable opportunity thereafter.

To show that the employee was advised in writing of the notification requirement of this paragraph, the temporary employment firm shall advise the temporary employee by requiring the temporary employee, at the time of employment with the temporary employment firm, to read and sign a document that provides a clear and concise explanation of the notification requirement and the consequences of a failure to notify. The document shall be separate from any contract of employment and a copy of the signed document shall be provided to the temporary employee.

For the purposes of this paragraph:

(1) "Temporary employee" means an individual who is employed by a temporary employment firm to provide services to clients to supplement their work force during

absences, seasonal workloads, temporary skill or labor market shortages, and for special assignments and projects.

(2) "Temporary employment firm" means a person engaged in the business of employing temporary employees.

# 871 IAC 24.26(19) provides:

Voluntary quit with good cause attributable to the employer and separations not considered to be voluntary quits. The following are reasons for a claimant leaving employment with good cause attributable to the employer:

(19) The claimant was employed on a temporary basis for assignment to spot jobs or casual labor work and fulfilled the contract of hire when each of the jobs was completed. An election not to report for a new assignment to work shall not be construed as a voluntary leaving of employment. The issue of a refusal of an offer of suitable work shall be adjudicated when an offer of work is made by the former employer. The provisions of lowa Code section 96.5(3) and rule 24.24(96) are controlling in the determination of suitability of work. However, this subrule shall not apply to substitute school employees who are subject to the provisions of lowa Code section 96.4(5) which denies benefits that are based on service in an educational institution when the individual declines or refuses to accept a new contract or reasonable assurance of continued employment status. Under this circumstance, the substitute school employee shall be considered to have voluntarily quit employment.

The purpose of Iowa Code section 96.5-1-j is to provide notice to the temporary agency employer that the claimant is available for work at the conclusion of each temporary assignment so he may be reassigned and continue working. In this case, the claimant specifically gave the employer notice that he was <u>not</u> able and available for work unless it was for a specific employer, Cretex, which unduly limited his availability. Therefore, the claimant is considered to have quit the employment by failing to maintain contact with the employer after his assignment ended and he is not able and available for work because he has limited his availability to work to only one employer. Consequently, benefits are denied.

The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. However, the overpayment will not be recovered when it is based on a reversal on appeal of an initial determination to award benefits on an issue regarding the claimant's employment separation if: (1) the benefits were not received due to any fraud or willful misrepresentation by the claimant and (2) the employer did not participate in the initial proceeding to award benefits. The employer will not be charged for benefits whether or not the overpayment is recovered. Iowa Code section 96.3-7. In this case, the claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. The matter of determining the amount of the overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under Iowa Code section 96.3-7-b is remanded to the Agency.

### **DECISION:**

The October 19, 2010, reference 01, decision is reversed. The claimant's separation was not attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as the claimant works in and has been paid for wages equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible. The claimant has received benefits but was not eligible for those benefits. The matter

Appeal No. 10A-UI-14828-ET

of determining the amount of the overpayment and whether the overpayment should be recovered under Iowa Code section 96.3-7-b is remanded to the Agency.

Iulia Eldas

Julie Elder Administrative Law Judge

**Decision Dated and Mailed** 

je/css