IOWA DEPARTMENT OF INSPECTIOSN AND APPEALS ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS DIVISION, UI APPEALS BUREAU

JAZMIN CAMPOS-RUIZ

Claimant

APPEAL 22R-UI-18339-DZ-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

HY-VEE INC

Employer

OC: 04/10/22

Claimant: Respondent (2)

lowa Code § 96.5(1) - Voluntary Quit

lowa Code § 96.3(7) - Recovery of Benefit Overpayment

lowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 - Employer Participation in Fact-Finding Interview

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Hy-Vee Inc, the employer/appellant, filed an appeal from the Iowa Workforce Development (IWD) May 6, 2022, (reference 03) unemployment insurance (UI) decision. The decision allowed REGULAR (state) UI benefits because IWD concluded that the employer had dismissed Ms. Campos-Ruiz from work on March 8, 2022 for a non-disqualifying reason. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on November 17, 2022. The employer participated through Lisa Stowater, vice president of distribution, Stacey Mitchell, Corporate Cost Control representative and employer witness, and Wayne King, Corporate Cost Control hearing representative. Ms. Campos-Ruiz did not participate in the hearing. The administrative law judge took official notice of the administrative record. Employer's Exhibits 1 and 2 were admitted as evidence.

ISSUE:

Did the employer discharge Ms. Campos-Ruiz from employment for disqualifying job-related misconduct, or did Ms. Campos-Ruiz voluntarily quit without good cause attributable to the employer?

Was Ms. Campos-Ruiz overpaid benefits? If so, should she repay the benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: Ms. Campos-Ruiz began working for the employer on September 13, 2021. She worked as a full-time warehouse generalist 1. Her employment ended on April 5, 2022.

The employer's policy provides that an employee who does not attend work and does not call-in for three consecutive day is considered to have quit. Ms. Campos-Ruiz acknowledged receiving a copy of the policy on her hire date.

Ms. Campos-Ruiz worked her scheduled shift on Tuesday, March 8, 2022. She took vacation leave Wednesday through Friday, March 9-11. Ms. Campos requested, and the employer approved for her to be on medical leave the following week plus one day, March 13 through March 20. Ms. Campos-Ruiz was on medical leave March 13-20.

The employer scheduled Ms. Campos-Ruiz to work Monday through Wednesday, March 21-23. She did not attend work any of those days and she did not call-in. On Thursday, March 24, the employer sent Ms. Campos-Ruiz two texts asking her to contact the employer. Ms. Campos-Ruiz did not respond and did not return to work. The following Wednesday, March 30, a human resources staff person sent Ms. Campos-Ruiz a text asking her to contact the employer. Ms. Campos-Ruiz did not respond and did not return to work. The following week, the employer scheduled Ms. Campos-Ruiz to work Sunday through Wednesday, April 3-5. Ms. Campos-Ruiz did not attend work any of those days and she did not call-in. The employment marked Ms. Campos-Ruiz as no longer working for the employer as of April 5.

Ms. Campos-Ruiz has received REGULAR (state) UI benefits in the gross amount of \$9,443.00 between April 10, 2022 and November 12, 2022. The employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview because it received the notice of the interview after the interview was scheduled to happen.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the employer did not terminate Ms. Campos-Ruiz's employment. Ms. Campos-Ruiz's separation from the employment was without good cause attributable to the employer.

lowa Code section 96.5(1) provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.25(4) provides:

Voluntary quit without good cause. In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer from whom the employee has separated. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.5. However, the claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving lowa Code section 96.5, subsection (1), paragraphs "a" through "i," and subsection 10. The following reasons for a voluntary quit shall be presumed to be without good cause attributable to the employer:

(4) The claimant was absent for three days without giving notice to employer in violation of company rule.

The claimant has the burden of proving that the voluntary leaving was for good cause attributable to the employer. lowa Code § 96.6(2). "Good cause" for leaving employment must be that which is reasonable to the average person, not the overly sensitive individual or the

claimant in particular. *Uniweld Products v. Indus. Relations Comm'n*, 277 So.2d 827 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1973). A voluntary leaving of employment requires an intention to terminate the employment relationship accompanied by an overt act of carrying out that intention. *Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer*, 289 N.W.2d 608, 612 (lowa 1980).

In this case, Ms. Campos-Ruiz did not attend work or call-in to work for any of her scheduled shifts after on, or after, March 21, 2022. Ms. Campos-Ruiz has been on medical leave the previous 8 days so, perhaps, she was having ongoing medical issues. But Ms. Campos-Ruiz did not participate in the hearing to explain what was happening. Based on the evidence in the record, Ms. Campos-Ruiz's leaving was not for good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are denied.

The administrative law judge further concludes Ms. Campos-Ruiz has been overpaid REGULAR (state) UI benefits in the gross amount of \$9,443.00.

lowa Code §96.3(7) provides, in pertinent part:

- 7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.
- a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.
- b. (1) (a) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. The employer shall not be relieved of charges if benefits are paid because the employer or an agent of the employer failed to respond timely or adequately to the department's request for information relating to the payment of benefits. This prohibition against relief of charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers.
- (b) However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.10 provides:

Employer and employer representative participation in fact-finding interviews.

(1) "Participate," as the term is used for employers in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means submitting detailed factual information of the quantity and quality that if unrebutted would be sufficient to result in a decision favorable to

the employer. The most effective means to participate is to provide live testimony at the interview from a witness with firsthand knowledge of the events leading to the separation. If no live testimony is provided, the employer must provide the name and telephone number of an employee with firsthand information who may be contacted, if necessary, for rebuttal. A party may also participate by providing detailed written statements or documents that provide detailed factual information of the events leading to separation. At a minimum, the information provided by the employer or the employer's representative must identify the dates and particular circumstances of the incident or incidents, including, in the case of discharge, the act or omissions of the claimant or, in the event of a voluntary separation, the stated reason for the quit. The specific rule or policy must be submitted if the claimant was discharged for violating such rule or policy. In the case of discharge for attendance violations, the information must include the circumstances of all incidents the employer or the employer's representative contends meet the definition of unexcused absences as set forth in 871—subrule 24.32(7). On the other hand, written or oral statements or general conclusions without supporting detailed factual information and information submitted after the fact-finding decision has been issued are not considered participation within the meaning of the statute.

- (2) "A continuous pattern of nonparticipation in the initial determination to award benefits," pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, as the term is used for an entity representing employers, means on 25 or more occasions in a calendar quarter beginning with the first calendar quarter of 2009, the entity files appeals after failing to participate. Appeals filed but withdrawn before the day of the contested case hearing will not be considered in determining if a continuous pattern of nonparticipation exists. The division administrator shall notify the employer's representative in writing after each such appeal.
- (3) If the division administrator finds that an entity representing employers as defined in lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, has engaged in a continuous pattern of nonparticipation, the division administrator shall suspend said representative for a period of up to six months on the first occasion, up to one year on the second occasion and up to ten years on the third or subsequent occasion. Suspension by the division administrator constitutes final agency action and may be appealed pursuant to lowa Code section 17A.19.
- (4) "Fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual," as the term is used for claimants in the context of the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to lowa Code section 96.6, subsection 2, means providing knowingly false statements or knowingly false denials of material facts for the purpose of obtaining unemployment insurance benefits. Statements or denials may be either oral or written by the claimant. Inadvertent misstatements or mistakes made in good faith are not considered fraud or willful misrepresentation.

This rule is intended to implement lowa Code section 96.3(7) "b" as amended by 2008 lowa Acts, Senate File 2160.

Ms. Campos-Ruiz has been overpaid REGULAR (state) UI benefits in the amount of \$9,443.00. Ms. Campos-Ruiz has been overpaid because she is not qualified to receive REGULAR (state) UI benefits based on how her job ended with this employer.

Since the employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview, Ms. Campos-Ruiz should not be required to repay these benefits. The employer did not have the opportunity to participate in the fact-finding, through no fault of its own. Therefore, the employer's account should not be charged. Since neither party is to be charged, the overpayment is absorbed by the UI fund.

DECISION:

The May 6, 2022, (reference 03) UI decision is REVERSED. Ms. Campos-Ruiz voluntarily left her employment without good cause attributable to the employer. Benefits are withheld until such time as she has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times her weekly benefit amount, provided she is otherwise eligible.

Ms. Campos-Ruiz has been overpaid REGULAR (state) UI benefits in the gross amount of \$9,443.00. Since the employer did not participate in the fact-finding interview, through no fault of its own, Ms. Campos-Ruiz is not required to repay these benefits and the employer's account is not to be charged. The overpayment is charged to the UI fund.

Daniel Zeno

Administrative Law Judge

Kinteloro

November 21, 2022
Decision Dated and Mailed

mh

APPEAL RIGHTS. If you disagree with this decision, you or any interested party may:

1. Appeal to the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days of the date under the judge's signature by submitting a written appeal via mail, fax, or online to:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 Online: eab.iowa.gov

The appeal period will be extended to the next business day if the last day to appeal falls on a weekend or a legal holiday.

AN APPEAL TO THE BOARD SHALL STATE CLEARLY:

- 1) The name, address, and social security number of the claimant.
- 2) A reference to the decision from which the appeal is taken.
- 3) That an appeal from such decision is being made and such appeal is signed.
- 4) The grounds upon which such appeal is based.

An Employment Appeal Board decision is final agency action. If a party disagrees with the Employment Appeal Board decision, they may then file a petition for judicial review in district court.

<u>2.</u> If no one files an appeal of the judge's decision with the Employment Appeal Board within fifteen (15) days, the decision becomes final agency action, and you have the option to <u>file a petition for judicial</u> review in <u>District Court</u> within thirty (30) days after the decision becomes final. Additional information on how to file a petition can be found at lowa Code §17A.19, which is online at https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf or by contacting the District Court Clerk of Court https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Note to Parties: YOU MAY REPRESENT yourself in the appeal or obtain a lawyer or other interested party to do so provided there is no expense to Workforce Development. If you wish to be represented by a lawyer, you may obtain the services of either a private attorney or one whose services are paid for with public funds.

Note to Claimant: It is important that you file your weekly claim as directed, while this appeal is pending, to protect your continuing right to benefits.

SERVICE INFORMATION:

A true and correct copy of this decision was mailed to each of the parties listed.

DERECHOS DE APELACIÓN. Si no está de acuerdo con la decisión, usted o cualquier parte interesada puede:

1. Apelar a la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo dentro de los quince (15) días de la fecha bajo la firma del juez presentando una apelación por escrito por correo, fax o en línea a:

Employment Appeal Board 4th Floor – Lucas Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319 Fax: (515)281-7191 En línea: eab.iowa.gov

El período de apelación se extenderá hasta el siguiente día hábil si el último día para apelar cae en fin de semana o día feriado legal.

UNA APELACIÓN A LA JUNTA DEBE ESTABLECER CLARAMENTE:

- 1) El nombre, dirección y número de seguro social del reclamante.
- 2) Una referencia a la decisión de la que se toma la apelación.
- 3) Que se interponga recurso de apelación contra tal decisión y se firme dicho recurso.
- 4) Los fundamentos en que se funda dicho recurso.

Una decisión de la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo es una acción final de la agencia. Si una de las partes no está de acuerdo con la decisión de la Junta de Apelación de Empleo, puede presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el tribunal de distrito.

2. Si nadie presenta una apelación de la decisión del juez ante la Junta de Apelaciones Laborales dentro de los quince (15) días, la decisión se convierte en acción final de la agencia y usted tiene la opción de presentar una petición de revisión judicial en el Tribunal de Distrito dentro de los treinta (30) días después de que la decisión adquiera firmeza. Puede encontrar información adicional sobre cómo presentar una petición en el Código de lowa §17A.19, que se encuentra en línea en https://www.legis.iowa.gov/docs/code/17A.19.pdf o comunicándose con el Tribunal de Distrito Secretario del tribunal https://www.iowacourts.gov/iowa-courts/court-directory/.

Nota para las partes: USTED PUEDE REPRESENTARSE en la apelación u obtener un abogado u otra parte interesada para que lo haga, siempre que no haya gastos para Workforce Development. Si desea ser representado por un abogado, puede obtener los servicios de un abogado privado o uno cuyos servicios se paquen con fondos públicos.

Nota para el reclamante: es importante que presente su reclamo semanal según las instrucciones, mientras esta apelación está pendiente, para proteger su derecho continuo a los beneficios.

SERVICIO DE INFORMACIÓN:

Se envió por correo una copia fiel y correcta de esta decisión a cada una de las partes enumeradas.