# IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

CAITLYN BLANKENSHIP Claimant

# APPEAL 21A-UI-17072-DB-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

KISMET ALT A LLC Employer

> OC: 03/15/20 Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Able to and Available for Work Iowa Code § 96.19(38) – Total, Partial, Temporary Unemployment Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

# STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant/appellant filed an appeal from the September 16, 2020 (reference 01) unemployment insurance decision that found claimant was not eligible for unemployment benefits due to her still being employed at the same hours and wages as her original contract of hire. The parties were properly notified of the hearing. A telephone hearing was held on September 29, 2021. The claimant participated personally. The employer did not participate. The administrative law judge took administrative notice of the claimant's unemployment insurance benefits records. The hearing was consolidated with Appeal No. 21A-UI-17073-DB-T and 21A-UI-17074-DB-T.

#### **ISSUES:**

Is the appeal timely? Was the claimant able to and available for work? Was the claimant totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: An unemployment insurance benefits decision was issued on September 16, 2020 (reference 01) and mailed to the claimant's address of record. Claimant never received the decision in the mail. Claimant filed an appeal on August 3, 2021, which was immediately following her receiving another decision regarding overpayment of benefits.

Claimant worked for this employer full-time as medication aide beginning on August 23, 2018. She filed an original claim for unemployment insurance benefits, with the help of her part-time employer, on March 15, 2020. Claimant filed weekly-continued claims for benefits from March 15, 2020 through May 2, 2020. Claimant remained employed for her full-time employer each week she filed weekly-continued claims for benefits and had earnings in excess of her weekly-benefit amount, plus \$15.00.

## REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes as follows:

The first issue is whether the claimant's appeal shall be considered timely. The administrative law judge finds that it shall.

lowa Code § 96.6(2) provides:

2. Initial determination. A representative designated by the director shall promptly notify all interested parties to the claim of its filing, and the parties have ten days from the date of mailing the notice of the filing of the claim by ordinary mail to the last known address to protest payment of benefits to the claimant. The representative shall promptly examine the claim and any protest, take the initiative to ascertain relevant information concerning the claim, and, on the basis of the facts found by the representative, shall determine whether or not the claim is valid, the week with respect to which benefits shall commence, the weekly benefit amount payable and its maximum duration, and whether any disqualification shall be imposed. The claimant has the burden of proving that the claimant meets the basic eligibility conditions of § 96.4. The employer has the burden of proving that the claimant is disqualified for benefits pursuant to § 96.5, except as provided by this subsection. The claimant has the initial burden to produce evidence showing that the claimant is not disqualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 10, and has the burden of proving that a voluntary quit pursuant to § 96.5, subsection 1, was for good cause attributable to the employer and that the claimant is not disgualified for benefits in cases involving § 96.5, subsection 1, paragraphs "a" through "h". Unless the claimant or other interested party, after notification or within ten calendar days after notification was mailed to the claimant's last known address, files an appeal from the decision, the decision is final and benefits shall be paid or denied in accordance with the decision. If an administrative law judge affirms a decision of the representative, or the appeal board affirms a decision of the administrative law judge allowing benefits, the benefits shall be paid regardless of any appeal which is thereafter taken, but if the decision is finally reversed, no employer's account shall be charged with benefits so paid and this relief from charges shall apply to both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding § 96.8, subsection 5.

The ten calendar days for appeal begins running on the mailing date. The "decision date" found in the upper right-hand portion of the representative's decision, unless otherwise corrected immediately below that entry, is presumptive evidence of the date of mailing. *Gaskins v. Unempl. Comp. Bd. of Rev.*, 429 A.2d 138 (Pa. Comm. 1981); *Johnson v. Bd. of Adjustment*, 239 N.W.2d 873, 92 A.L.R.3d 304 (Iowa 1976).

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.35(2) provides:

Date of submission and extension of time for payments and notices.

(2) The submission of any payment, appeal, application, request, notice, objection, petition, report or other information or document not within the specified statutory or regulatory period shall be considered timely if it is established to the satisfaction of the division that the delay in submission was due to division error or misinformation or to delay or other action of the United States postal service.

a. For submission that is not within the statutory or regulatory period to be considered timely, the interested party must submit a written explanation setting forth the circumstances of the delay.

b. The division shall designate personnel who are to decide whether an extension of time shall be granted.

c. No submission shall be considered timely if the delay in filing was unreasonable, as determined by the department after considering the circumstances in the case.

d. If submission is not considered timely, although the interested party contends that the delay was due to division error or misinformation or delay or other action of the United States postal service, the division shall issue an appealable decision to the interested party.

In this case, the claimant never received the decision in the mail. As such, the delay in the claimant filing the appeal was due delay by the United States postal services and the appeal shall be considered timely.

The next issue is whether the claimant was totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed. The administrative law judge finds that she was not.

lowa Code § 96.4(3) provides:

An unemployed individual shall be eligible to receive benefits with respect to any week only if the department finds that:

3. The individual is able to work, is available for work, and is earnestly and actively seeking work. This subsection is waived if the individual is deemed partially unemployed, while employed at the individual's regular job, as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "b", subparagraph (1), or temporarily unemployed as defined in section 96.19, subsection 38, paragraph "c". The work search requirements of this subsection and the disqualification requirement for failure to apply for, or to accept suitable work of section 96.5, subsection 3, are waived if the individual is not disqualified for benefits under section 96.5, subsection 1, paragraph "h".

Iowa Code § 96.19(38)b provides:

As used in this chapter, unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

38. "Total and partial unemployment".

a. An individual shall be deemed "totally unemployed" in any week with respect to which no wages are payable to the individual and during which the individual performs no services.

b. An individual shall be deemed partially unemployed in any week in which either of the following apply:

(1) While employed at the individual's then regular job, the individual works less than the regular full-time week and in which the individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

(2) The individual, having been separated from the individual's regular job, earns at odd jobs less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus fifteen dollars.

c. An individual shall be deemed temporarily unemployed if for a period, verified by the department, not to exceed four consecutive weeks, the individual is unemployed due to a plant shutdown, vacation, inventory, lack of work, or emergency from the individual's regular job or trade in which the individual worked full-time and will again work full-time, if the individual's employment, although temporarily suspended, has not been terminated.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23(23) provides:

Availability disqualifications. The following are reasons for a claimant being disqualified for being unavailable for work.

(23) The claimant's availability for other work is unduly limited because such claimant is working to such a degree that removes the claimant from the labor market.

Because claimant was still employed full-time at her regular job with this employer, she was working to such a degree that removes her from the labor market. She has failed to establish that she was able to and available for work pursuant to Iowa Code § 96.4(3). Benefits are denied on that basis.

Further, claimant earned gross wages in excess of her weekly-benefit amount, plus \$15.00 for each week in which she filed weekly-continued claims for benefits. Claimant failed to properly report her gross wages earned when she filed weekly-continued claims.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.18 provides:

Wage-earnings limitation. An individual who is partially unemployed may earn weekly a sum equal to the individual's weekly benefit amount plus \$15 before being disqualified for excessive earnings. If such individual earns less than the individual's weekly benefit amount plus \$15, the formula for wage deductions shall be a sum equal to the individual's weekly benefit amount less that part of wages, payable to the individual with respect to that week and rounded to the lower multiple of one dollar, in excess of one-fourth of the individual's weekly benefit amount.

This rule is intended to implement Iowa Code § 96.3, 96.4 and 96.19(38).

Because the claimant earned gross wages above her weekly-benefit amount, plus \$15.00 for each of the weeks in which she filed weekly-continued claims for benefits, she is further disqualified based upon excessive earnings. Unemployment insurance benefits funded by the State of Iowa are denied effective March 15, 2020 and continuing due to the claimant failing to establish that she was able to and available for work and for earning wages in excess of the limitation for each week from March 15, 2020 through May 2, 2020.

## **DECISION:**

The appeal shall be considered timely. The September 16, 2020 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. Claimant was not totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed. Benefits are denied effective March 15, 2020 and continuing until the claimant establishes that she was totally, partially, or temporarily unemployed.

Dawn Morucher

Dawn Boucher Administrative Law Judge

September 30, 2021 Decision Dated and Mailed

db/kmj