

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

EDWARD D FOWLER
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-10210-H2T

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

AVENTURE STAFFING & PROFESSIONAL
Employer

OC: 07-22-12
Claimant: Appellant (1)

Iowa Code § 96.5(2)a – Discharge/Misconduct
871 IAC 24.32(7) – Excessive Unexcused Absenteeism

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The claimant filed a timely appeal from the August 13, 2012, reference 01, decision that denied benefits. After due notice was issued, a hearing was held on September 19, 2012. The claimant did participate. The employer did participate through (representative) Kayla Neuhalphen, human resources representative, and Danielle Shaughnessy, employee services representative. Employer's Exhibit One was entered and received into the record. Claimant's Exhibit A was entered and received into the record.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant discharged due to job connected misconduct?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The claimant was last assigned to work at Jack Link as a general laborer, full-time, beginning February 7, 2012, through July 16, 2012, when he was discharged. The claimant was a no-call, no-show for work on July 16 because he was incarcerated. He was released on July 18 and did not contact the employer again until July 20, when he went into pick up his paycheck. At that time, he was told that he was discharged for being a no-call, no-show on July 16. The claimant had prior warning for poor attendance on March 29, May 25, and July 3, 2012. The last warning put the claimant on notice that his poor attendance was placing his job in jeopardy. Absences due to incarceration are not excused.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge concludes the claimant was discharged from employment due to job-related misconduct.

Iowa Code § 96.5-2-a provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

2. Discharge for misconduct. If the department finds that the individual has been discharged for misconduct in connection with the individual's employment:

a. The individual shall be disqualified for benefits until the individual has worked in and has been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times the individual's weekly benefit amount, provided the individual is otherwise eligible.

871 IAC 24.32(7) provides:

(7) Excessive unexcused absenteeism. Excessive unexcused absenteeism is an intentional disregard of the duty owed by the claimant to the employer and shall be considered misconduct except for illness or other reasonable grounds for which the employee was absent and that were properly reported to the employer.

The determination of whether unexcused absenteeism is excessive necessarily requires consideration of past acts and warnings. The term "absenteeism" also encompasses conduct that is more accurately referred to as "tardiness." An absence is an extended tardiness, and an incident of tardiness is a limited absence. Absences related to issues of personal responsibility such as transportation, lack of childcare, and oversleeping are not considered excused. *Higgins v. Iowa Department of Job Service*, 350 N.W.2d 187 (Iowa 1984).

An employer is entitled to expect its employees to report to work as scheduled or to be notified as to when and why the employee is unable to report to work. The employer has established that the claimant was warned that further unexcused absences could result in termination of employment and that the final absence was not excused. The final absence, in combination with the claimant's history of unexcused absenteeism, is considered excessive. Benefits are withheld.

DECISION:

The August 13, 2012 (reference 01) decision is affirmed. The claimant was discharged from employment due to excessive, unexcused absenteeism. Benefits are withheld until such time as he has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times his weekly benefit amount, provided he is otherwise eligible.

Teresa K. Hillary
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

tkh/kjw