

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI

ADAM M FORDE
Claimant

APPEAL NO. 12A-UI-12845-VST

CASEY'S MARKETING COMPANY
Employer

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
DECISION**

OC: 09/16/12
Claimant: Respondent (2R)

Section 96.5-1 – Voluntary Quit
Section 96.3-7 – Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

The employer filed an appeal from a decision of a representative dated October 17, 2012, reference 01, which held that the claimant was eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. After due notice, a telephone conference hearing was scheduled for and held on November 19, 2012. The claimant failed to respond to the hearing notice and did not participate. The employer participated by John Bare, store manager. The record consists of the testimony of John Bare and Employer's Exhibits 1-5.

ISSUE:

Whether the claimant was separated from his employment for any disqualifying reason; and
Whether the claimant has been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

The administrative law judge, having heard the testimony of the witness and having considered all of the evidence in the record, makes the following findings of fact:

The employer is a convenience store chain. The claimant worked at a store located in Council Bluffs, Iowa. The claimant was hired as a part-time cashier on December 16, 2011. His last day of actual work was September 12, 2012.

On September 15, 2012, the claimant called in one half hour before his shift began to report that he would be late. He never showed up for work. He never came to work after that date. The employer tried to contact him several times without success. Work was available had the claimant elected to show up for work or contact the employer.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Iowa Code section 96.5-1 provides:

An individual shall be disqualified for benefits:

1. Voluntary quitting. If the individual has left work voluntarily without good cause attributable to the individual's employer, if so found by the department.

A quit is a separation initiated by the employee. 871 IAC 24.1(113)(b). In general, a voluntary quit requires evidence of an intention to sever the employment relationship and an overt act carrying out that intention. See Local Lodge #1426 v. Wilson Trailer, 289 N.W.2d 698, 612 (Iowa 1980) and Peck v. EAB, 492 N.W.2d 438 (Iowa App. 1992). In general, a voluntary quit means discontinuing the employment because the employee no longer desires to remain in the relationship of an employee with the employer. See 871 IAC 24.25.

The claimant is not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits. The claimant abandoned his job by failing to come to work and failing to contact his employer. His actions show that he intended to sever the employment relationship. He carried out that intention by failing to show up for work. Benefits are denied.

The next issue is overpayment of benefits.

Iowa Code section 96.3-7, as amended in 2008, provides:

7. Recovery of overpayment of benefits.

a. If an individual receives benefits for which the individual is subsequently determined to be ineligible, even though the individual acts in good faith and is not otherwise at fault, the benefits shall be recovered. The department in its discretion may recover the overpayment of benefits either by having a sum equal to the overpayment deducted from any future benefits payable to the individual or by having the individual pay to the department a sum equal to the overpayment.

b. (1) If the department determines that an overpayment has been made, the charge for the overpayment against the employer's account shall be removed and the account shall be credited with an amount equal to the overpayment from the unemployment compensation trust fund and this credit shall include both contributory and reimbursable employers, notwithstanding section 96.8, subsection 5. However, provided the benefits were not received as the result of fraud or willful misrepresentation by the individual, benefits shall not be recovered from an individual if the employer did not participate in the initial determination to award benefits pursuant to section 96.6, subsection 2, and an overpayment occurred because of a subsequent reversal on appeal regarding the issue of the individual's separation from employment. The employer shall not be charged with the benefits.

(2) An accounting firm, agent, unemployment insurance accounting firm, or other entity that represents an employer in unemployment claim matters and demonstrates a continuous pattern of failing to participate in the initial determinations to award benefits, as determined and defined by rule by the department, shall be denied permission by the department to represent any employers in unemployment insurance matters. This

subparagraph does not apply to attorneys or counselors admitted to practice in the courts of this state pursuant to section 602.10101.

The overpayment issue is remanded to the claims section for determination.

DECISION:

The decision of the representative dated October 17, 2012, reference 01, is reversed. Unemployment insurance benefits shall be withheld until claimant has worked in and been paid wages for insured work equal to ten times claimant's weekly benefit amount, provided claimant is otherwise eligible. The overpayment issue is remanded to the claims section for determination.

Vicki L. Seeck
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

vls/pjs