

**IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS**

68-0157 (9-06) - 3091078 - EI	
KEITH A ORVIS Claimant IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT	APPEAL NO: 15A-UI-02697-DT ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION OC: 12/28/14 Claimant: Appellant (2)

Section 96.3-7 - Recovery of Overpayment of Benefits

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

Keith A. Orvis (claimant) appealed a representative's February 27, 2015 decision (reference 02) that concluded he had been overpaid unemployment insurance benefits. After a hearing notice was mailed to the claimant's last-known address of record, a telephone hearing was held on March 24, 2015. This appeal was consolidated for hearing with one related appeal, 15A-UI-02696-DT. The claimant participated in the hearing. Based on the evidence, the arguments of the claimant, and the law, the administrative law judge enters the following findings of fact, reasoning and conclusions of law, and decision reversing the representative's decision.

ISSUE:

Was the claimant overpaid unemployment insurance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

A representative issued a decision dated February 26, 2015 (reference 01) that concluded the claimant was disqualified from receiving benefits after a refusal of work offered by Industrial Fab & Machine, Inc. (employer). The claimant timely appealed that decision and in the concurrently issued decision in appeal 15A-UI-02696-DT, that decision has been reversed.

The overpayment decision was issued in this case as a result of the February 26, 2015 (reference 01) disqualification decision.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The issue in this case is whether the claimant is overpaid benefits of \$2,667.00.

The unemployment insurance law provides that benefits must be recovered from a claimant who receives benefits and is later determined to be ineligible for benefits, even though the claimant acted in good faith and was not otherwise at fault. Iowa Code § 96.3-7. In this case, the

claimant received benefits for which he subsequently was deemed ineligible in the representative's decision issued on February 26, 2015. The disqualification from that decision has been reversed in the concurrently issued decision in 15A-UI-02696-DT. Therefore, the administrative law judge concludes that the claimant was not overpaid benefits.

DECISION:

The representative's February 27, 2015 decision (reference 02) is reversed. The claimant is not overpaid benefits.

Lynette A. F. Donner
Administrative Law Judge

Decision Dated and Mailed

ld/pjs